RFP 39/2021: Appointment of a Panel for Design and Engineering Consultants for the Built Environment

Non-Compulsory (Virtual) Briefing Session Question & Answers

Last updated: 05/05/2022

| # | Question | Answer |
|---|--|--|
| | Questions d | uring the Briefing Session |
| 1 | In Section 11, category 1 – Structural engineering | In terms of the relevant Professional Government Gazetted Act, |
| | will SARS accept any structural resource with a B- | if SARS is undertaking structural engineering, the bidder will |
| | tech for water but does structure for the company | need to provide a qualified structural engineer who is registered |
| | can the resource be used or is there a specific | with a professional body as a structural engineer as per Section |
| | resource required for structure? | 11.1.1 of the RFP document. |
| | | |
| | | GOVERNMENT GAZETTE, 26 MARCH 2021 326 No. 44333 |
| | | BOARD NOTICE 21 of 2021 |
| | | IDENTIFICATION OF ENGINEERING WORK REGULATIONS. |
| | | CODE COMPETENCIES DECLUDED TO DEDECORM |
| | | CORE COMPETENCIES REQUIRED TO PERFORM IDENTIFIED ENGINEERING WORK |
| | | IDENTIFIED ENGINEERING WORK |
| | | 4 (1) A person who performs any identified engineering work in a |
| | | particular engineering discipline must, in addition to any other |
| | | requirement contemplated in the Engineering Profession Act- |
| | | |
| | | (a) be suitably qualified; |
| | | (b) be registered by ECSA in the appropriate category applicable |
| | | to the level of service performed; and |
| | | (c) possess the necessary core competency in the competency |
| | | areas referred to in this item to perform such core service as a |
| | | professional engineer, professional engineering technologist, |
| | | professional certificated engineer, professional engineering |
| | | technician or a specified category practitioner. |
| | | (2) For the purpose of sub-itom (1) "suitably sublified" masses |
| | | (2) For the purpose of sub-item (1) "suitably qualified" means |
| | | being in possession of a qualification that is recognised or |
| | | accredited by ECSA for purposes of registering a person in any |

| | | of the cotonomics referred to in postion 10/1/(a) /b) and /a) of the |
|---|--|---|
| | | of the categories referred to in section 18(1)(a), (b) and (c) of the |
| | | Engineering Profession Act. |
| | | |
| | | Additionally, bidders can consider submitting documentation |
| | | confirming membership to the JSD list of Structural Engineering |
| | | Professionals or other similar bodies (The IStructE SA List Of |
| | | Structural Engineering Professionals - IStructE SA) |
| | | |
| 2 | The structural and civil engineers are all registered | The differentiation will be done via the terms of GOVERNMENT |
| | as civil engineers there is no category for structural | GAZETTE, 26 MARCH 2021 326 No. 44333, BOARD NOTICE |
| | or geotechnical engineering, so how will this be | 21 of 2021. |
| | differentiated? | Bidders are to supply membership credentials for Civil |
| | | Engineering and proof via Company Profile and Resources |
| | | returnables that they comply with Sections 4.1.a/b/c of the CORE |
| | | COMPETENCIES REQUIRED. |
| 3 | Work services is not listed as a category on its | Work services will fall under mechanical and electronic under |
| ١ | own, will if fall under mechanical and electronic | electrical. This may be further determined at time of RFQ |
| | under electrical ? | , |
| | | (Request for Quotation) when actual project specifics are known. |
| 4 | With regard to the envisaged scope, seeing that | Specialist under a relevant discipline will be invited at RFX |
| | SARS is on a strategy to optimise office space, is | stage. For the purpose of the establishment of the panel it is not |
| | energy optimisation within the scope of this | a requirement. Bidders must submit primary documents as per |
| | tender? | category. |
| 5 | How many companies per category does SARS | There is no limit on the number, the panel is open to all bidders |
| | want to appoint? | who will meet the threshold. |
| 6 | Section 11.1.1 of the RFP document requires | The intention of SARS is not to exclude any service provider, but |
| | bidders to have valid professional registration with | the specification is drafted as per the needs of the organisation. |
| | the applicable body for the registered principal for | The needs will be better served as per the published |
| | each selected category they are bidding for. | requirements. |
| | And | |
| | | |
| | Section 11.2.2.1 requires that the registered | |
| | principal should have minimum of five (5) years | |
| | post registration & Professional Practice Exam/ | |
| | Assessment of Professional Competency. | |
| | What about people who worked for 20 years | |
| | internationally and have now returned to South | |
| | , | |

| | Africa and has less than 5 years, these people are excluded, this is excluding emerging companies and the Bid Evaluation Committee must look into it. | |
|----|---|--|
| 7 | Can the same resource be proposed for different categories? | Yes, as long as the Resources put forward comply with the terms of GOVERNMENT GAZETTE, 26 MARCH 2021 326 No. 44333, BOARD NOTICE 21 of 2021 Section 4 (where applicable). The same principle applies for non ECSA categories such as Architects, QS, etc within their respective Government Gazette notices. |
| | | The bidder must address the limitation of capacity at RFX stage that could cause delay in project where there is reliance on one resource. |
| 8 | If a resource has more experience in fire engineering and less experience in civil engineering will they be disqualified in civil? | No, the bidders will submit proposals per category they are bidding for. If they meet the threshold in one category and fail in another category, they will not be disqualified in a category where the threshold is met. |
| 9 | Are we required to duplicate Pre-qualification documents for each category? | No, Prequalification documents must be submitted once. Bidders must refer to section 13.10 of the RFP document. |
| 10 | Are we required to submit original and duplicate file and also same information on the USB/soft copy? | Yes, bidders must refer to section 13.8 of the RFP document on the required file submission. |
| 11 | How must the folders on the USB/soft copy be named? | Bidders must refer to section 13.10 of the RFP document on the format of file packaging. |
| 12 | Must each category be submitted on its own tender file or can one tender file be submitted with separate folders for each category? | Yes, one tender file can be submitted and each category on a separate folder. |
| 13 | Is it compulsory to submit the bids physical and on eSourcing? | Yes, bidders must submit the bids physical and on eSourcing. |
| 14 | Will bidders be disqualified if they do not return the RFP document with the bid proposal? | No, bidders will not be disqualified as they are not required to submit the RFP document as stated on section 13.10. of the RFP document. |
| 15 | Will the bidders be disqualified if the same resources are proposed by different companies? | No, as long as the Resources put forward comply with the terms of GOVERNMENT GAZETTE, 26 MARCH 2021 326 No. 44333, BOARD NOTICE 21 of 2021 (where applicable) and the bidder indicates the Resources as "ad hoc/other" on returnables. |

| | | The bidder must address the limitation of availability at RFX stage |
|----|--|---|
| | | that could cause delay in project where there is reliance on one |
| | | resource by different companies. |
| 16 | Can the SBD documents be completed | Yes, as long as the information completed is visible and the forms |
| | electronically? | are fully completed and signed. |
| 17 | Is there a limit in terms of the number of pages for | No, as long as the CV is detailing the required information as per |
| | the CV's required. | section 11.2.2.1 of the RFP document. |
| 18 | Will a bidder be disqualified for submitting bids to | No, section 11 of the RFP document indicate that bidders may |
| | all categories? | submit proposals in respect of any one or more of the nine |
| | | categories. The returnables put forward for each category must |
| | | comply with the terms of GOVERNMENT GAZETTE, 26 MARCH |
| | | 2021 326 No. 44333, BOARD NOTICE 21 of 2021 (where |
| | | applicable). |
| | | |
| 19 | Are we allowed to submit bids as a Joint Venture | Bidders may submit bids as an Incorporated or Unincorporated |
| | (JV)? do we have to submit a consolidate B- | JV together with a JV legal agreement detailing the percentage |
| | BBEE Certificate? | ownership of each entity and a consolidated B-BBEE Certificate. |
| | | |
| 20 | Are we allowed to sub-contract and what | For the establishment of a panel there is no need to sub-contract. |
| | percentage can we sub-contract? | The percentage for sub-contracting will be determined at RFX |
| | | stage. Bidders can only sub-contract to a bidder who also meet |
| | | the requirements of the RFX at that stage. |
| 21 | Section 14.4 of the RFP document, reference is | The Constitutional Court handed down a judgement on 16 |
| | made to, inter alia, the following: | February 2022 declaring the Preferential Procurement |
| | | Regulations, 2017 invalid. |
| | Application of the 80/20 Price/Preference points | |
| | scoring system | SARS applied to the Minister for exemption from the PPPFA in |
| | That the 80/20 points scoring system will not be | line with section 3 of the Act and the exemption was granted. |
| | applied for purpose of the evaluation of this | |
| | tender, but will become applicable at the time that | Section 2 of the act state that an organ of state must determine |
| | SARS requests quotations from service providers | its preferential procurement policy. |
| | that have been successfully appointed to the | |
| | panel. | SARS has developed its own internal Preferential Procurement |
| | | Policy effective as on 22 March 2022 and is referenced in the |
| | The concern that we have is the manner in which | RFP document and can be accessible on the link below: |
| | points scoring for the 80/20 system has been | |
| | | |

defined by SARS. To this end, I have extracted the following table (table 14B on page 16) from the RFP document. Below this table I have included the points scoring allocation for an 80/20 system as included in the Preferential Procurement Policy Framework Act (PPPFA): Preferential Procurement Regulations, 2017. As can be seen, there is a significant disparity between the RFP's points allocation for an 80/20 system and the points allocation outlined in the PPPFA Preferential Procurement Regulations, 2017.

https://www.sars.gov.za/wp-content/uploads/Ops/Policies/SS-PROC-03-SARS-Preferential-Procurement-External-Policy.pdf

Post Briefing Session

- In section 1.3 of Annexure B, Regarding the two(2) projects experience that must be submitted. What information is needed under:
 - 1. The problem?
 - 2. The client brief?
 - 3. The solution?
 - 4. Outcome of the project?

SARS is inviting Professional Design and Engineering Consulting companies to become part of a Panel of Professionals. The bidding entity is appointed to the Panel as a "company" or going concern. SARS will not appoint individuals to the Panel, but individuals may apply as a company/going concern.

In Section 11.2.1 of the RFP document, SARS will be testing if the Companies are genuine Consulting Design and Engineering going concerns within the respective categories they wish to apply for.

As an example, if a Bidder wishes to apply to be considered as a suitable Architectural "company", then in Section One, SARS is testing that the "company" is a genuine going concern that supplies Architectural Services to the South African market.

If the Bidder is a "company" that supplies multi-disciplinary services (i.e. Architectural, Engineering, QS, etc) then the bidder will need to submit a separate response per section detailing the Companies experience in the category being applied for.

Please tailor your responses - with respect to the questions asked - Indicate to SARS that you are a company experienced in

| | | supplying the services (category) you are applying to be |
|----|--|---|
| | | considered for on the panel. |
| 23 | Our financial year ended is on 28 February 2022. | Do not submit Financial Statements. Financial analysis evaluation |
| 20 | Unfortunately, the financial statements for the year | will not be conducted for the establishment of the panel. |
| | ending 28 February 2022 have not yet been | will not be conducted for the establishment of the panel. |
| | drafted by our Accountant. Is it acceptable if we | |
| | · | |
| | include the financial statements for year ending 28 | |
| | February 2021? | |
| 24 | Page 17 of the RFP document state: | |
| | G | SARS reserves the right to request that Service Providers submit |
| | "Bidders will need to provide information which | their Black Ownership and turnover information in support of their |
| | proves Black Ownership and turnover, in addition | affidavits. This information maybe requested at RFX stage and |
| | to the sworn affidavit, or request that their | not for the establishment of the panel. |
| | EME/QSE suppliers be verified and have this | |
| | confirmed in the affidavit." | |
| | | |
| | Based on the above : Will an EME without black | |
| | ownership: | |
| | • be considered or will the submitted bid be | |
| | disqualified | |
| | • be considered as "non-compliant" on the 80/20 | All bidders will be considered and scored according to the 80/20 |
| | point system | preference point system at RFX stage. |
| | • still receive points as per the 80/20 system | |
| 25 | We missed the Briefing Session Meeting can we | Yes, the attendance of the briefing session meeting was non- |
| | still submit? | compulsory. |
| 26 | In terms of the typical requirements of SARS listed | The function of the Technical Evaluation Gate is to ensure that |
| | on page 11 of the request for proposal document, | bidders who are appointed to the Panel have suitable experience |
| | does companies with TI Design, commercial | to undertake the work that SARS would specifically request the |
| | spaces and warehouses, should all projects | Panel to quote on. In the test to confirm that Bidders have the |
| | submitted be only such as these to meet the | necessary experience for SARS type projects, Bidders who have |
| | requirements? | solid experience in works similar to that of SARS typical projects |
| | • • • • • | would score more points than those who do not have experience |
| | | in SARS typical projects. |
| | | C 10 17 p. 10 p |
| | | |

27 Page 10 of the RFP document, section 11.2.2.1 - " SARS requires Proof of PR (Professional Registration) of the PPE / APC (Professional Practice Exam / accountable Professional. Assessment of Professional Competency)" kindly advise if this is an additional qualification SARS accepts that a company may have some qualified staff over and above being Professionally registered (Eng / Tech Eng) who are registered with their professional bodies with the Engineering Council of SA. We have not as Candidates and who will work under the PR End/PR Tech Eng, come across this requirement in previous tenders but the Bidder must put forward as the name of the Principal in submitted to other Organisations. each category and confirmation that the Principals registration is PR Eng or PR Tech Eng. "This is the most senior person who will sign off all drawings and be accountable for the Professional services delivered relevant to the category being applied for." Our Built Environment Council allows this to be PR Eng or Pr Tech Eng. 28 SARS will accept certified copies not older 12 months with a 2021 1. Certified copies of documents: What is the oldest date that will be acceptable at closing of date or newer. tender? The function of the Technical Evaluation Gate is to ensure that 2. If a QS company was not involved in bidders who are appointed to the Panel have suitable experience commercial buildings and warehouses as to undertake the work that SARS would specifically request the required in the RFP document, how will they be Panel to quote on. In the test to confirm that Bidders have the evaluated technically? Will the fact that the necessary experience for SARS type projects, Bidders who have company was involved in other types of solid experience in works similar to that of SARS typical projects buildings be considered and valuated? would score more points than those who do not have experience in SARS typical projects. 29 In Section 11.2.2. "Resources" under section The details of the Key Account Manager will include: names and 11.2.2.2 what details is required for the Key contact details. Account Manager that will be the SARS contact person? 30 Could you please confirm if this bid intends to Yes, Bidders must refer to section 7 of the RFP document which establish a nation-wide panel and if so, how will state: consultants in the various provinces be allocated "Where possible SARS will give preference to local Service work? In other words, will work in Gauteng be Providers on the panel to quote on a specific RFX however SARS allocated to Gauteng-based consultants etc? reserves the right to invite Service Providers from any other region to quote if justifiable".

| | | Didden would also refer to American A colores the relocated |
|----|---|--|
| | | Bidders must also refer to Annexure A where the physical |
| | | addresses of the offices are required. |
| 31 | Section 3 of Annexure B, Please indicate whether | As per section 3 of Annexure B, bidders are required to submit |
| | "Reference letters from clients" (heading of | reference letters from clients including required information. |
| | paragraph) are required or can we just provide the | |
| | names, contact information, etc of each client as described in section 3? | |
| | described in Section 3? | |
| 32 | On SBD 4 document, please clarify whether the | Yes, only bidders whose response is Yes to section 2.1 must |
| | table under section 2.1.1 Bidders Declaration | complete the table in section 2.1.1. |
| | should be completed by every bidder or only those | |
| | who answers Yes that they have | |
| | directors/shareholders/members/trustees who are | |
| | employed by the state? | |
| | | |
| 33 | My letter of good standing for professional | Please submit the latest available at time of tender submission. |
| | registration is valid until 31 May 2022. I will only | By default, SARS will accept certified copies not older 12 months |
| | receive the renewed letter after 31 May 2022. | with a 2021 date or newer. Kindly note that bidders who are |
| | Please advise how to handle the matter | elected to the panel will have to re-submit membership |
| | | information yearly. This may also be additionally requested at |
| | | time of RFQ (Request for Quotations). |
| 34 | When submitting as a consortium, should we put | Bidders may submit bids as an Incorporated or Unincorporated |
| | all the returnable documents in one file (covering | Consortium together with a Consortium legal agreement detailing |
| | consortium) or we have to put returnable | the percentage ownership of each entity and a consolidated B- |
| | documents as per discipline being tendered for. | BBEE Certificate of all companies in the consortium. |
| | - On consolidated BBBEE, should we have one | |
| | consolidated BBBEE covering e.g. 5 companies | |
| | and put in each discipline on I have to have 5 | The bidder must submit a letter of the Board resolution indicating |
| | separate consolidated BBBEEs per discipline | that authority has been given to one representative to complete |
| | being tendered for. | all documents. |
| | - Should the members of the consortium fill in the | |
| | SBD forms on their own or the lead consultant | Regarding file packaging, one file can be submitted and the |
| | (authorised signatory) can do that. | returnables must be on a separate folder for each category the |
| | - Should all consortium members sign | company is bidding for. |
| | Oath/Affirmation of Secrecy or the lead only can | |
| | do that. | |

35 Is this RFP for consulting companies only or can This tender is for SARS to create a panel of companies whose companies that also do the actual work apply? main line of business is Professional Consulting Design and Engineering. The work performed by the Panel would result in tenders to market for installation companies later. 36 Section 11.2.2.1 of the RFP document state: SARS will not evaluate the country in which an engineer may have studied and obtained their degrees / certificates. A foreign The registered principal should have a minimum of qualification can be acceptable if it has been verified/ evaluated five (5) years post registration & PPE/ APC by the SAQA - South African Qualifications Authority. (Professional Practice Exam/ Assessment of Professional Competency) relevant working SARS requires Proof of PR (Professional Registration) of the experience in the selected category. accountable Professional Principal with South African bodies Does this relate to the mandatory requirement in thereby implying that the degree/certificate obtained is Table 14B? recognized and acceptable to the relevant South African body. Would: - An ECSA certificate or SARS would therefore require proof of PR Eng or PR Tech Eng - A professional engineering certificate with registration with ECSA irrespective of where the applicant may Engineering New Zealand suffice? have obtained their degrees / certificates. 37 Page 11 of RFP document state that: SARS will The function of the Technical Evaluation Gate is to ensure that strictly consider the bidder with experience in bidders who are appointed to the Panel have suitable experience to undertake the work that SARS would specifically request the Design of Commercial Office Blocks for Panel to quote on. Corporate tenants TI Design / Shopping Mall areas In the test to confirm that Bidders have the necessary experience Design and layout of Warehousing for SARS type projects, Bidders who have solid experience in Complexes or warehouse. works similar to that of SARS typical projects would score more Does it mean failing to have the above mentioned points than those who do not have experience in SARS typical requirements the bidder will be disqualified? projects, but this would not be considered an outright disqualifier. 38 In the event of a single-person office where the Yes, the company will score points on both items. principal accountable professional, key account manager and registered professional is the same individual, how will the evaluation/scoring be accessed? Will the company still be able to score points on each of items 2.1, 2.2 and 2.3 on page 2 of Annexure B?

| | General & Important |
|----|--|
| 39 | Bidders must carefully read the RFP document and its annexures. |
| 40 | Bidders must carefully complete Annexure A to select the category they are bidding for and attach supporting |
| | documents specific to the category they are bidding for. |
| 41 | Bidders are advised to regularly visit the SARS website for any up-dates |