## RFP 29/2024: APPOINTMENT OF A SECOND PRINTING BRAND (OEM) FOR STANDARDISATION OF PRINTERS ACROSS SARS OFFICES

# **Communication #1**

Date of Issue: 29 JANUARY 2025

# 1. Answers to questions posed by Bidders.

These questions and answers as well as issued / reissued documents referred to in this document can be found on SARS's website at <a href="https://www.sars.gov.za">www.sars.gov.za</a>.

#### **Question and Answer**

| No | Question                            | Answer   |
|----|-------------------------------------|--|
|    | Is SARS a participant in the RT3/22 | Kindly refer to page 25 of 37 in the SARS RFP 29-2024 1-1 Main RFP               |
|    |                                     | <b>Document,</b> which states that, "SARS will then procure and/or rent printers |
|    |                                     | from the two (2) standardized printer brands (Ricoh and the second brand         |
| 1. |                                     | that will be appointed through this RFP process). The procurement and/or         |
|    |                                     | renting of printers from the two (2) brands, will be done through either a       |
|    |                                     | transversal contracts or limited bid (only from the accredited partners of both  |
|    |                                     | brands)."  |
|    |                                     |  |

| No | Question   | Answer  |
|----|--|---|
| 2. | Will SARS want us to quote based on the upgrade rates as part of the contract  | Kindly refer to page 25 of 37 in the SARS RFP 29-2024 1-1 Main RFP                |
|    |  | <b>Document</b> , which states that, "SARS will then procure and/or rent printers |
|    |  | from the two (2) standardized printer brands (Ricoh and the second brand          |
|    |  | that will be appointed through this RFP process). The procurement and/or          |
|    |  | renting of printers from the two (2) brands, will be done through either a        |
|    |  | transversal contracts or limited bid (only from the accredited partners of both   |
|    |  | brands)."   |
|    |  | There should be four classes, but class 2 is broken down into A and B.            |
| 3. | When we are looking at your classes are you looking for one to four or you are looking at 1,2 and 3 classes and what kind of page speed product. | NB: Kindly refer to the updated, <b>SARS RFP 29-2024 2-1 Business</b>             |
| 3. |  | Requirement Specification   |
|    |  | Requirement Specification   |
|    |  | The documents have been published on SARS website and National                    |
| 4. | Where do we get those documents that presenting to us.   | Treasury e-Tender.  |
|    |  | SARS interest and/or target market for this tender is to appoint the Original     |
| 5. | You guys allow us, as the service providers to outsource the third parties for the OEM like printing machine.                                    | Equipment Manufacturer (OEMs).  |
|    |  | NB: Only OEMs must respond to this tender, as per the SARS business               |
|    |  | requirements specification and the mandatory requirement.                         |
|    |  |   |
|    | Context: I just need to clarify or request some guidance on 2 items linked to the  |   |
|    | Tender response of RFP 29/2024.  |   |
|    |  |   |

| No |  | Question  | Answer   |
|----|--|---|--|
|    | 1. In the Busines                        | s Requirement Specification PDF, there is this statement                        |  |
|    | below –                                  |   |  |
|    | (D) (T)                                  |   |  |
|    |  | lize laser printing technology and support standard print                       |  |
|    | languages such as PC                     | CL 5c, PCL 6, Postscript level 3 emulation, and PDF."                           |  |
|    | The request is that we                   | uld CADC look at an alternative print to book any analysing of                  |  |
|    |  |   | Bidders are requested to adhere to the SARS business requirement,          |
|    | other requirements are                   | e met.  | however, if a bidder has a better solution than the SARS requirements SARS |
|    |  |   | would be interested to know about that solution.                           |
|    | OEM A do have a uni                      | que offering in our solution and <b>OEM A</b> would love to share               |  |
|    | the savings SARS car                     | n expect in the 5-year period.  |  |
|    | Context: Is linked to t                  | his table   |  |
|    |  |   |  |
|    | Table 5: Mandatory evaluat               | ion criteria  |  |
|    | No: Mandatory evaluation criteria        | Bidder to submit as proof   |  |
| 7. | Accreditation for the<br>Mult Functional | The Bidder must provide a signed letter from its company representatives, which |  |
|    | 1. Printer (MFP)                         | confirms that, the Bidder is an Original Equipment Manufacturer (OEM) of the    |  |
|    | brand.                                   | Multi-Functional Printer (MFP) brand.   |  |
|    | With <b>OEM A</b> META-C                 | WA our Head office based in Dubai we are not listed on                          | The Original Equipment Manufacturer (OEM) is not required to submit a CSD  |
|    | CSD and use our loya                     | ll partner channel to assist in pre and post sales, are we able                 | report, if they do not have a CSD report.                                  |
|    |  | horised partners that can sell and service <b>OEM A</b> Office                  |  |
|    |  | •   |  |

| No | Question   | Answer  |
|----|--|---|
|    | devices – MFPs?  |   |
|    |  | At this point in time, SARS does not require the OEM to provide the list of its   |
|    |  | partners/ distributors/ re-sellers.   |
|    |  |   |
|    | Context: I have a question with regards to the PaperCut at SARS.                   |   |
|    | Questions: Does SARS have an Embedded license for <b>OEM B</b> , considering we    |   |
|    | need to embed the <b>OEM B</b> Machine on their PaperCut instance. We just need to |   |
|    | make sure that the <b>OEM B</b> devices will be able to embed on your current      |   |
|    | PaperCut instance.   |   |
|    | For embedded to work you will need a Konica Minolta Embedded device. If you do     | No. CARC does not have an Embadded license for Karica Minelta   |
| 8. | not have PaperCut embedded device loaded on your PaperCut instance it will not     | No, SARS does not have an Embedded license for Konica Minolta.  |
| Ο. | work. unless you are using a trial license, or should we supply SARS with a Konica | A Landing Control of the Control of |
|    | Minolta trial license for embedding of the PaperCut to take place?                 |   |
|    | Is the PaperCut instance already installed and configured or will we install and   | Konica Minolta can/should provide a trial license.  |
|    | configure the PaperCut on your server.   |   |
|    | For mandatory evaluation criteria indication is for 4 different models (MFP) and   |   |
|    | size, should we provide all for options or how as previously only 2 models were    |   |
|    | required?  | Papercut is already installed.  |

| No  | Question   | Answer   |
|-----|--|--|
|     |  | For purposes of mandatory requirements, bidders are mainly requested to          |
|     |  | confirm if their device confirms with the requirements or not in the attached,   |
|     |  | SARS RFP 29-2024 5-1 Mandatory Response Template                                 |
|     |  | Kindly refer to page 25 of 37 in the SARS RFP 29-2024 1-1 Main RFP               |
|     |  | <b>Document,</b> which states that, "SARS will then procure and/or rent printers |
|     | I am seeking clarification on the Reseller / OEM arrangement.                      | from the two (2) standardized printer brands (Ricoh and the second brand         |
|     |  | that will be appointed through this RFP process). The procurement and/or         |
|     | During the tender briefing and the RFQ document, it refers to both the Reseller    | renting of printers from the two (2) brands, will be done through either a       |
|     | and OEM, but that SARS will deal directly with the OEM.                            | transversal contracts or limited bid (only from the accredited partners of both  |
| 9.  |  | brands)."  |
|     | also understood the briefing, that the Reseller will submit a bid on an OEM brand, | SARS interest and/or target market for this tender is to appoint the Original    |
|     | and that SARS will contact the OEM directly for the technical evaluation.          | Equipment Manufacturer (OEMs).   |
|     |  | Equipment Mandiacturer (OLMS).   |
|     | Please can we request our answer to this, as it is a bit ambiguous?                |  |
|     |  | NB: Only OEMs must respond to this tender.                                       |
|     | See below some questions regarding SARS tender                                     | It is crucial to align your MFP offerings with the detailed classifications      |
| 10. |  | specified on Pages 5 & 6 of the BRS. Each class is tailored to meet SARS         |
|     | In the following document - SARS RFP 29-2024 2-1 Business Requirement              | varied operational demands and printing capacities, the minimum hardware         |
|     | Specification.pdf.   | specification mentioned are just a baseline to guide Bidders not to submit       |
|     |  | MFPs that are below the minimum specification. In addition, the SARS             |
|     | Pages 5 & 6 specify 4 classes of products: Class 1 (30ppm), Class 2 (40/30ppm),    | Operating Environment section outlines MPVs categorized as Low, Medium,          |

| <b>o</b> | Question   | Answer   |
|----------|--|--|
|          | Class 3 (50/40ppm), Class 4 (>50ppm). Yet at bottom of page 6 you have   | and High. This categorization is essential for ensuring that the MFPs  |
| •        | The MFPs under consideration should meet the following minimum   | proposed are not only technically competent but also closely matched to our  |
| r        | nardware specifications: Print Speed: Capable of printing up to 23-40 ppm for A4   | expected usage patterns for that class. This section is meant to supplement  |
| a        | and letter-sized black and white documents, and up to 20-30 ppm for colour   | the 4 classes.   |
| F        | documents. Page 8 you break down your requirement into 3 levels i.e. Low MPV, Medium MPV and High MPV.   | NB: All printers within Classes 1, 2, 3, and 4 must be clearly identifiable by their MPV category. For instance, Class 1 printers should be recognized as Low MPV options, while Class 3 printers align with High MPV requirements. Class 4, listed as an exception due to its advanced capabilities, also falls into the High MPV category. This clear identification will help ensure that each printer's capabilities are directly matched to the specific needs and printing volumes of the departments they will serve within SARS. |
| \<br>\   | Above are conflicting. What specification do we need to base the product selection on?  What are your current Monthly Print Volumes or expected volume for new/tendered fleet? | Since this RFP is focused on standardizing on a printing brand, it's important to clarify that we are not requesting bidders to provide quantities of each model within the specified classes. Instead, the primary objective is to evaluate whether the models proposed by the OEM align with our classification requirements and operational needs.  |
|          |  | Since no procurement will be finalized as part of this RFP process, the emphasis is on assessing the suitability the OMEs printers across each   |

| No  | Question   | Answer   |
|-----|--|--|
|     |  | class—ensuring they meet SARS' specified standards and requirements.             |
|     | What is the product quantity split between above categories/levels                 | Therefore, OEMs must focus on proposing at least one printer model per           |
|     |  | class as defined in the RFP. This approach will allow us to comprehensively      |
|     |  | test and verify that each model meets the expectations for its respective        |
|     |  | category and there is not the need for a detailed product quantity split at this |
|     |  | stage.   |
|     | Please note that the Technical Response and Mandatory Response templates           |  |
|     | contains the same information.   |  |
|     | As per table 5 within the Main RFP document, the Mandatory response template       |  |
|     | should contain the requitements whereby the bidder needs to either comply/do not   |  |
| 11. | comply   |  |
|     | The Bidder must confirm (on the mandatory response template, through either        | Kindly see the updated, <b>SARS RFP 29-2024 5-1 Mandatory Response</b>           |
|     | indicating comply/ do not comply) that, the MFPs that they are proving are capable | <u>Template</u>  |
|     | of the following functionality:  |  |
|     | Kindly provide the updated template which contains these                           |  |
|     | requirements/functionalities.  |  |

| No  | Question  | Answer  |
|-----|---|---|
|     | Please can you confirm if the attached document for Mandatory Response  Template is the correct template that should be used.   |   |
| 12. | As per briefing session on the 17/01 a new document would be update on the website by 20/01 however it seems to be the exact same document.   | Kindly see the updated, SARS RFP 29-2024 5-1 Mandatory Response  Template   |
|     | Please could you advise as we would need this to plan our submission.   |   |
|     |   | SARS interest and/or target market for this tender is to appoint the Original   |
| 13. | We just want to confirm whom must respond to this tender, is it the reseller, the   | Equipment Manufacturer (OEMs).  |
| 13. | distributor or the OEM?   | NB: Only OEMs must respond to this tender.  |
| 14. | We are kindly requesting for minutes/recording of the briefing session for RFP 29/2024 that held on the 17th of January.  | Kindly refer to the Non-Compulsory briefing session presentation  |
|     | As part of our ongoing efforts to support our business operations and strengthen  |   |
|     | our channel partnerships, we, <b>OEM C</b> South Africa, would like to inquire about the  |   |
|     | possibility of a deviation allowing our first-tier distributor to submit a tender   | SARS interest and/or target market for this tender is to appoint the Original   |
|     | response on our behalf.   | Equipment Manufacturer (OEMs).  |
| 15. | We have a standing agreement in our business processes to equip and invest in our channel partners, ensuring they operate efficiently with our full backing and support. Given this collaboration, we believe that permitting our distributor to engage in the tender process on our behalf will further enhance the smooth | NB: Only OEMs must respond to this tender, as per the business requirement specification and the mandatory requirement. |

| No | Question   | Answer   |
|----|--|--|
|    | operation and execution of our business objectives.                            | SARS would for purposes of this tender, not accept and/or disqualify   |
|    |  | (at mandatory evaluation) any re-seller or accredited partner or any   |
|    | We would greatly appreciate your guidance on whether such a deviation would be | bidder other than the Original Equipment Manufacturer (OEMs) that will |
|    | possible and, if so, the necessary steps to facilitate this.                   | respond to this tender, as per the mandatory requirements of the       |
|    |  | tender.  |
|    |  |  |

## **RE-ISSUED DOCUMENTS**

| NO: | RE- ISSUED DOCUMENTS                                    | COMMENT |
|-----|---|---------|
| 1.  | SARS RFP 29-2024 2-1 Business Requirement Specification |         |
| 2.  | SARS RFP 29-2024 5-1 Mandatory Response Template        |         |