# <u>RFP 35 – 2018: PROCUREMENT OF AN INTEGRATED IT OPERATIONS NETWORK TROUBLESHOOTING TOOL</u> <u>INCLUDING MAINTENANCE AND SUPPORT SERVICES</u>

### **RFP Communication**

## Date of Issue: 11/03/2019

### 1. Answers to questions posed by Bidders.

This communication is issued in terms of paragraph 9.2 of "SARS RFP 35-2018 1-1 Summary, Guidelines, Instructions and Conditions" These questions and answers as well as issued / reissued documents referred to in this document can be found on SARS's website at www.sars.gov.za

### **Question and Answer Table**

Question	Answer
Our solution supports a combination of Windows and Redhat Linux on	<ul> <li>Redhat Linux is currently not supported on the SARS platform</li> </ul>
not cater for our configuration. Please advise how SARS would want this response marked and whether Redhat Linux is a supported SARS platform.	- As per page 6 (Ref 1.M) of document "SARS RFP 35-2018 5-2
	Technical Response Template" please tick "Unable to install on a
	virtual or physical server and does not support any of these
	platforms (Windows, Suse Linux, AIX or Appliance)"
	- As per page 6 (Instructions to Table B) of document "SARS RFP
	35-2018 5-2 Technical Response Template" please provide the
	supporting documentation for the configuration proposed
	Our solution supports a combination of Windows and Redhat Linux on Physical and Virtual servers. The stated instructions for this requirement does not cater for our configuration. Please advise how SARS would want this

No	Question	Answer
2.	For Incident Management/Upgrades for what we have to price on; Does this	As per Section 3.10 of document "SARS RFP 35-2018 3-1 Business
	refer to on-site support? - " Support & maintenance entitles the customer to do	Requirements Specification"
	upgrades but does not perform the upgrade on their behalf. A customer would need to download the new version of the software, plan the upgrade, and log support calls (incidents) if they hit issues during the upgrade – would assist them remotely for each case raised."	- Adhoc services : Any adhoc services, amongst others such as those listed in sections 3.4 and 3.6 will be formally agreed upon by SARS and the SP as required.
	Should SARS require to do an "On-Site" Support, then that would be	Maintenance and support includes
	billable. Is that what SARS refers to as; "Incident Management/Upgrades" and requires pricing on?	- The SP must provide 12 days onsite maintenance support per year.
	Kindly clarify, so as to price SARS correctly	- The SP must provide unlimited telephone support on a 24X7, 365 days basis.
		- The SP must provide unlimited online support on the 24X7, 365 days basis.
		<ul> <li>The SP must provide advice to SARS on software updates and new enhancements for the tool.</li> </ul>
3.	We'd like to know that if we cannot comply with SARS terms from the SARS RFP 35-2018 4-1 IT Network Troubleshooting Tool Agreement or terms in the	In terms of Section 6.6 of document "SARS RFP 35-2018 1-1 Summary, Guidelines, Instructions and Conditions"
	SARS RFP 35-2018 4-2 Service Level Agreement, would we be automatically	
	disqualified or would SARS be prepared to negotiate terms if were the successful bidder?	<ul> <li>SARS reserves the right to vary the terms and conditions of the proposed "IT Network Troubleshooting Tool" Agreement and will therefore negotiate terms with a successful bidder</li> </ul>
		As per Section 6.6 of document "SARS RFP 35-2018 1-1 Summary, Guidelines, Instructions and Conditions"
		<ul> <li>The Bidder should note that the representations made by the Bidder in its Proposal will be incorporated in the proposed "IT Network Troubleshooting Tool" Agreement by reference and that SARS relies upon the Bidder's Proposal as a material representation in making an award to a successful Bidder and in</li> </ul>

No	Question	Answer
		concluding an agreement with the Bidder. It follows therefore that any misrepresentations in a Proposal may result in legal action or other processes by SARS against the Bidder notwithstanding the conclusion of an "IT Network Troubleshooting Tool" Agreement between SARS and the Bidder for the provision of the Services in question.
		<ul> <li>Any award made to a Bidder under this RFP is <u>conditional</u>, amongst other provisions, upon SARS and such Bidder concluding a written agreement ("IT Network Troubleshooting Tool" Agreement).</li> </ul>
		While <u>SARS reserves the right to vary the terms and conditions</u> <u>of the proposed "IT Network Troubleshooting Tool"</u> <u>Agreement</u> during the finalisation of the contract with the successful Bidder at SARS's sole discretion (including for purposes of better giving effect to the objectives in paragraph Error! Reference source not found. above), it
		s a condition of the RFP that a successful Bidder will be bound by such terms and conditions of the proposed "IT Network Troubleshooting Tool" Agreement.
4.	Please would you clarify who SARS considers the 'Bidder's Bid Team' to consist of with reference to (who are the members?):	The 'Bidder's Bid Team' would be all members responsible for drafting/input to the proposal for RFP 35-2018
	9.5.1.3 SARS Oath/Affirmation of Secrecy signed by each member of the Bidder's Bid team in the presence of a Commissioner of Oaths; and	