**RFP 01/2019**

# aNNEXURE A1: MANDATORY CRITERIA RESPONSE TEMPLATE CHECK LIST

# Bidders Name: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

**Please refer to section 7.3 table 2 of the Main RFP document to complete this form. The form must be submitted in File 1, Section 2.**

**If the bidder does not meet any of the mandatory pre-qualification criteria, the bidder will be disqualified and the bidder’s proposal will not be evaluated further.**

**Example on how to complete the compliance checklist:**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Section  No. | Pre-technical mandatory criteria | Yes | No | Provide Evidence(Where applicable) | Comments |
| 9.5.1. | Attendance of the compulsory briefing session  The bidder to provide evidence of attendance of the compulsory briefing session (Attendance certificate) |  |  | Attendance Certificate |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

Please refer to section 7.3 table 2 to complete this form. The form must be submitted.

| Section  No. | Pre-technical mandatory criteria questions | Yes | No | Comments |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 1. | Does the bidder have any direct and/or indirect commercial and/or vested interests in the tobacco industry? Including but not limited to any company or director involved in the supply chain as defined in the BRS of tobacco products. |  |  |  |
| 2. | Can the bidder provide a bid bond amounting to 5% of the capital outlay investment as per their Financial Proposal? This has to be submitted along with the bid. This condition applies to all bidders regardless of the country of origin. The Bid Security shall be, at the option of the bidder, in the form of Deposit at Call or a Bank Guarantee issued by a Scheduled Bank. |  |  |  |
|  | Does the bidder’s proposed solution include a serialisation engine that can generate unique identifiers (UID) at the individual unit level i.e. 10,20,30's to Brick/Carton and Master Case and Pallet. |  |  |  |
|  | **Secure Marking: Ability to incorporate security features into fiscal marks and UIDs. –**   * Does the bidder‘s proposed solution have a visible mark that can be seen by the naked eye * Can the bidder‘s proposed solution be authenticated by using dedicated and specialised devices (this can incorporate taggants, inks or other features that cannot be seen by the naked eye). * Does the bidder‘s proposed solution have the ability to add a unique identifier and related information to each label/stamp/mark, with quality control to verify the readability via machine-readable mechanism (e.g. data matrix, bar code etc.) * Does the bidder‘s proposed solution include forensic markers that can be identified only through laboratory analysis providing irrefutable evidence that could be submitted as evidence in a court of law. |  |  |  |
|  | **Aggregation** - Does bidder‘s proposed solution have the ability to link units to higher level packaging |  |  |  |
|  | Does **t**he bidder‘s proposed solution provide for marking of low volume goods. (Manual application, etc.) |  |  |  |
|  | **Local versus export production monitoring-** Can the bidder‘s proposed solution automatically distinguish and account for production intended for domestic consumption and for the export market |  |  |  |
|  | **Marks inventory management-** Does the bidder‘s proposed solution account for the usage of fiscal marks, their application on each manufactured product and their wastage during the production process. |  |  |  |
|  | Does **t**he bidder‘s proposed solution cater for, and describe interoperability measures with existing fiscal marking solutions. |  |  |  |
|  | **Device Security**- Is the bidder‘s proposed solution protected by passwords and only allows usage by authorised personnel |  |  |  |
|  | **Maintenance Plan**-   * Can the Bidder provide a device maintenance and replacement plan for their proposed solution? * Can he bidder confirm the capability to maintain all components and elements of the solution? |  |  |  |