

28 July 2020

**Draft Response Document on Disaster Management Tax Relief Bill, 2020
and the Disaster Management Tax Relief Administration Bill, 2020**

**(Based on public hearings by the Standing Committee on Finance and
Select Committee on Finance in Parliament)**



**NATIONAL
TREASURY**



Table of contents

1. BACKGROUND.....	5
1.1. PUBLICATION OF THE DRAFT COVID-19 TAX BILLS FOR PUBLIC COMMENT	5
1.2. CONSULTATION PROCESS ON THE DRAFT COVID-19 TAX BILLS	6
1.3. POLICY ISSUES AND RESPONSES.....	7
1.4. SUMMARY.....	7
2020 DMTR BILL.....	12
2. EXPANSION OF EMPLOYMENT TAX INCENTIVE AGE ELIGIBILITY CRITERIA AND AMOUNT CLAIMABLE...12	
<i>INITIAL DRAFT DMTR BILL PUBLISHED ON 1 APRIL 2020</i>	<i>12</i>
2.1. INCREASING THE QUANTUM OF THE ETI RELIEF	12
2.2. ANTI-AVOIDANCE MEASURE AIMED AT EMPLOYERS PAYING LESS WAGES THAN THE AVAILABLE INCENTIVE	13
2.3. CONSIDERATION OF WORKING HOURS DUE TO SOCIAL DISTANCING REQUIREMENTS.....	13
2.4. EMPLOYERS REGISTRATION DATE WITH SARS.....	13
<i>REVISED DRAFT DMTR BILL PUBLISHED ON 1 MAY 2020.....</i>	<i>13</i>
2.5. EXTENDING THE ETI TEMPORARY RELIEF MEASURE TO APPLY TO DOMESTIC WORKERS.....	15
2.6. TERS PAYMENTS SHOULD BE TAKEN INTO ACCOUNT WHEN DETERMINING QUALIFICATION FOR THE EXTENDED ETI CLAIM... ..	15
<i>SECOND REVISED DRAFT DMTR BILL PUBLISHED ON 19 MAY 2020.....</i>	<i>16</i>
2.7. AMENDMENTS TO THE ETI FORMULA RESULTED IN REDUCTION OF THE RELIEF.....	16
2.8. EXTENDING THE ETI TEMPORARY RELIEF MEASURE TO APPLY TO DOMESTIC WORKERS.....	16
2.9. BACKDATING THE CHANGES TO THE DEFINITION OF “MONTHLY REMUNERATION”	16
2.10. EXTENDING THE ELIGIBLE WAGE RANGE	17
<i>PARLIAMENTARY COMMITTEES PUBLIC HEARINGS ON THE TABLED COVID-19 TAX BILLS.....</i>	<i>17</i>
2.11. ETI TEMPORARY RELIEF MEASURES SHOULD BE DEPENDENT ON THE JOBS PROVIDED BY EMPLOYERS.....	17
2.12. AMENDMENTS TO THE ETI FORMULA RESULTED IN REDUCTION OF THE RELIEF.....	17
2.13. EXTENSION OF THE ETI RELIEF	18
3. STREAMLINED SPECIAL TAX DISPENSATION FOR FUNDS ESTABLISHED TO ASSIST WITH COVID-19 DISASTER RELIEF EFFORTS.....	18
<i>INITIAL DRAFT DMTR BILL PUBLISHED ON 1 APRIL 2020</i>	<i>18</i>
3.1. EXPANDING THE LEGAL NATURE OF COVID-19 RELIEF FUNDS.....	19
3.2. SECTION 18A TAX DEDUCTIBLE DONATIONS FOR DONORS OF COVID-19 RELIEF FUNDS.....	19
3.3. RELIEF FROM PAYE WITHHOLDING OBLIGATION.....	20
3.4. DEEMED CONVERSION TO A SMALL BUSINESS FUNDING ENTITY	20
<i>REVISED DRAFT DMTR BILL PUBLISHED ON 1 MAY 2020.....</i>	<i>21</i>
3.5. PRE-APPROVAL BY SARS FOR COVID-19 DISASTER RELIEF ORGANISATIONS BENEFITS.....	21
3.6. CLARIFICATION OF THE RELIEF FROM PAYE WITHHOLDING OBLIGATION	22
<i>SECOND REVISED DRAFT DMTR BILL PUBLISHED ON 19 MAY 2020.....</i>	<i>22</i>
3.7. UNCERTAINTY AROUND THE PROMULGATION AND EXTENSION OF RELIEF	23
<i>PARLIAMENTARY COMMITTEES PUBLIC HEARINGS ON THE TABLED COVID-19 TAX BILLS.....</i>	<i>23</i>
3.8. SECTION 18A RECEIPTS BY COVID-19 RELIEF FUNDS.....	23
3.9. EXTENSION OF THE RELIEF PROVIDED TO COVID-19 RELIEF FUNDS	24
4. SKILLS DEVELOPMENT LEVY HOLIDAY	24
<i>REVISED DRAFT DMTR BILL PUBLISHED ON 1 MAY 2020.....</i>	<i>24</i>
4.1. POWERS OF THE MINISTER OF FINANCE	25
4.2. EXEMPTION FOR COMPANIES IN FINANCIAL DISTRESS AFTER THE RELIEF MEASURE CEASES TO APPLY	25

4.3.	PERIOD OVER WHICH EMPLOYERS CAN UTILISE THE RELIEF MEASURE.....	25
4.4.	EXTENSION OF THE APPLICABILITY OF THE RELIEF MEASURE.....	25
	<i>SECOND REVISED DRAFT DMTR BILL PUBLISHED ON 19 MAY 2020</i>	26
4.5.	INTERACTION BETWEEN THE SDL HOLIDAY AND THE CALCULATION OF THE LEVIABLE AMOUNT IN TERMS OF THE B-BBBE ACT	26
5.	INCREASING THE TAX DEDUCTIBLE DONATIONS AVAILABLE FOR DONATIONS TO THE SOLIDARITY FUND BY ADDITIONAL 10 PER CENT	26
	<i>REVISED DRAFT DMTR BILL PUBLISHED ON 1 MAY 2020</i>	26
5.1.	THE ADDITIONAL 10 PER CENT TAX DEDUCTION SHOULD BE AVAILABLE TO DONORS OF OTHER COVID-19 RELIEF FUNDS	27
	<i>PARLIAMENTARY COMMITTEES PUBLIC HEARINGS ON THE TABLED COVID-19 TAX BILLS</i>	27
5.2.	THE ADDITIONAL 10 PER CENT TAX DEDUCTION SHOULD BE AVAILABLE TO DONORS OF OTHER COVID-19 RELIEF FUNDS	27
	2020 DRAFT DMTRA BILL	29
6.	DEFINITION OF QUALIFYING TAXPAYER	29
	<i>INITIAL DRAFT DMTRA BILL PUBLISHED ON 1 APRIL 2020</i>	29
6.1.	INCREASING THE GROSS INCOME THRESHOLD TO ENSURE THAT MORE BUSINESSES HAVE ACCESS TO THE PROPOSED RELIEF ..	29
6.2.	TO WHICH YEAR DOES THE GROSS INCOME THRESHOLD RELATE	29
6.3.	BROADENING THE DEFINITION OF QUALIFYING TAXPAYER TO INCLUDE NON-COMPLIANT TAXPAYERS	30
6.4.	DETERMINATION OF GROSS INCOME OF PARTNERSHIPS.....	30
6.5.	PUBLIC BENEFIT ORGANISATIONS MUST BE INCLUDED IN THE PROPOSED RELIEF.....	30
6.6.	INCREASING THE 10 PER CENT PASSIVE INCOME EXCLUSION PERCENTAGE	31
6.7.	PASSIVE INCOME EXCLUSION SHOULD BE EXPANDED TO COVER ALL TYPES OF PASSIVE INCOME.....	31
6.8.	RELIEF TO BE EXTENDED TO TAXPAYERS THAT OPERATE IN THE BUSINESS OF THE RENTAL OF FIXED PROPERTY	31
	<i>REVISED DRAFT DMTRA BILL PUBLISHED ON 1 MAY 2020</i>	31
6.9.	INCREASING THE GROSS INCOME THRESHOLD TO INCLUDE BUSINESSES WITH A GROSS INCOME ABOVE R100 MILLION	32
6.10.	PROPOSE TO BRING IN A REASONABILITY TEST WHERE TAXPAYER INCORRECTLY ESTIMATED GROSS INCOME, AS A GENERAL RULE.....	32
6.11.	BROADENING THE DEFINITION OF QUALIFYING TAXPAYER TO INCLUDE NON-COMPLIANT TAXPAYERS	33
6.12.	PUBLIC BENEFIT ORGANISATIONS TO BE INCLUDED IN THE PROPOSED RELIEF.....	33
6.13.	INCREASING THE 20 PER CENT PASSIVE INCOME EXCLUSION PERCENTAGE	33
	<i>PARLIAMENTARY COMMITTEES PUBLIC HEARINGS ON THE TABLED COVID-19 TAX BILLS</i>	34
6.14.	EXTENDING THE RELIEF PROVIDED TO MORE BUSINESSES THAT HAVE BEEN AFFECTED BY THE COVID-19 PANDEMIC..	34
6.15.	PROPOSE TO BRING IN A REASONABILITY TEST WHERE TAXPAYER INCORRECTLY ESTIMATED GROSS INCOME, AS A GENERAL RULE.....	34
6.16.	RELIEF TO BE EXTENDED TO NON-COMPLIANT TAXPAYERS.....	34
7.	DEFERRAL OF THE PAYMENT OF EMPLOYEES' TAX LIABILITY FOR TAX COMPLIANT SMALL TO MEDIUM SIZED BUSINESSES	35
	<i>INITIAL DRAFT DMTRA BILL PUBLISHED ON 1 APRIL 2020</i>	35
7.1.	INCREASING THE PERCENTAGE OF PAYE TO BE DEFERRED.....	35
7.2.	INCREASING THE EXTENT OF THE RELIEF AS WELL AS THE PERIOD OVER WHICH THE DEFERRED PAYE MUST BE SETTLED TO SARS	36
7.3.	EMPLOYERS SHOULD BE INCENTIVISED BY BEING REFUNDED A PORTION OF THE PAYE WITHHELD	36
	<i>REVISED DRAFT DMTRA BILL PUBLISHED ON 1 MAY 2020</i>	36
7.4.	INCREASING THE EXTENT OF THE RELIEF	37
	<i>PARLIAMENTARY COMMITTEES PUBLIC HEARINGS ON THE TABLED COVID-19 TAX BILLS</i>	37
7.5.	INCREASING THE EXTENT OF THE RELIEF	37

7.6.	EXTENDING THE PERIOD OVER WHICH THE DEFERRED PAYE SHOULD BE SETTLED TO SARS	38
8.	DEFERRAL OF THE PAYMENT OF PROVISIONAL TAX LIABILITY FOR TAX COMPLIANT SMALL TO MEDIUM SIZED BUSINESSES.....	38
	<i>INITIAL DRAFT DMTRA BILL PUBLISHED ON 1 APRIL 2020</i>	<i>38</i>
8.1.	INCREASING THE EXTENT OF THE RELIEF	38
8.2.	INCREASING THE PERIOD OVER WHICH THE DEFERRED PROVISIONAL TAX SHOULD BE SETTLED TO SARS	39
	<i>REVISED DRAFT DMTRA BILL PUBLISHED ON 1 MAY 2020</i>	<i>39</i>
8.3.	INCREASING THE EXTENT OF THE RELIEF	39
8.4.	EXTENDING THE PERIOD OVER WHICH THE DEFERRED PROVISIONAL TAX SHOULD BE SETTLED TO SARS	40
	<i>PARLIAMENTARY COMMITTEES PUBLIC HEARINGS ON THE TABLED COVID-19 TAX BILLS.....</i>	<i>40</i>
8.5.	EXTENDING THE PERIOD OVER WHICH THE DEFERRED PROVISIONAL TAX SHOULD BE SETTLED TO SARS	40
9.	ADJUSTING EMPLOYEES' TAX FOR DONATIONS MADE THROUGH THE EMPLOYER	40
	<i>REVISED DRAFT DMTRA BILL PUBLISHED ON 1 MAY 2020</i>	<i>40</i>
9.1.	WHETHER THE RELIEF MEASURE APPLIES ONLY TO DONATIONS MADE TO THE SOLIDARITY FUND	41
9.2.	WHETHER THE COMBINED TAX DEDUCTIBLE DONATIONS TO BOTH THE SOLIDARITY FUND AND OTHER PBOs WILL BE LIMITED TO 33.3 PER CENT	41
	<i>PARLIAMENTARY COMMITTEES PUBLIC HEARINGS ON THE TABLED COVID-19 TAX BILLS.....</i>	<i>41</i>
9.3.	WHETHER THE RELIEF MEASURE APPLIES ONLY TO DONATIONS MADE TO THE SOLIDARITY FUND	42
9.4.	WHETHER THE COMBINED TAX DEDUCTIBLE DONATIONS TO BOTH THE SOLIDARITY FUND AND OTHER PBOs WILL BE LIMITED TO 33.3 PER CENT	42
10.	EXTENSION OF TIME-PERIODS	42
	<i>INITIAL DRAFT DMTRA BILL PUBLISHED ON 1 APRIL 2020</i>	<i>42</i>
10.1.	GENERAL EXTENSION OF TIME-PERIODS IN TAX ADMINISTRATION ACT IS REQUIRED	43
10.2.	DIES NON TO APPLY TO CERTAIN ADDITIONAL PROVISIONS IN TAX ADMINISTRATION ACT NOT CURRENTLY CONTAINED IN DRAFT BILL	43
	<i>REVISED DRAFT DMTRA BILL PUBLISHED ON 1 MAY 2020</i>	<i>43</i>
10.3.	GENERAL EXTENSION OF TIME-PERIODS IN TAX ADMINISTRATION ACT IS REQUIRED	44
10.4.	DIES NON TO APPLY TO CERTAIN ADDITIONAL PROVISIONS IN TAX ADMINISTRATION ACT NOT CURRENTLY CONTAINED IN DRAFT BILL	44
	<i>PARLIAMENTARY COMMITTEES PUBLIC HEARINGS ON THE TABLED COVID-19 TAX BILLS.....</i>	<i>44</i>
10.5.	DIES NON TO APPLY TO CERTAIN ADDITIONAL PROVISIONS IN TAX ADMINISTRATION ACT NOT CURRENTLY CONTAINED IN DRAFT BILL, INCLUDING THE SUBMISSION DATE FOR RETURNS	44

1. BACKGROUND

1.1. PUBLICATION OF THE DRAFT COVID-19 TAX BILLS FOR PUBLIC COMMENT

The draft Disaster Management Tax Relief Bill, 2020 (draft DMTR Bill) and the draft Disaster Management Tax Relief Administration Bill, 2020 (draft DMTRA Bill), commonly termed as draft COVID-19 Tax Bills give effect to the exceptional tax measures outlined by President Cyril Ramaphosa on 23 March 2020 in his speech on the Escalation of Measures to Combat COVID-19, as well as the tax announcements made by the Minister of Finance on 29 March 2020 on tax measures to combat the COVID-19 pandemic. The first versions of the draft COVID-19 Tax Bills were published for public comment by the National Treasury and the South African Revenue Service (SARS) on 1 April 2020. These draft COVID-19 Tax Bills give effect to the COVID-19 tax measures to take effect on 1 April 2020 and apply for a limited period of four months, ending on 31 July 2020.

Following President Cyril Ramaphosa's address to the nation on 21 April 2020, further COVID-19 tax measures that aim to assist individuals and businesses through the pandemic announced were announced by the Minister of Finance on 21 April 2020. On 1 May 2020 National Treasury and SARS published for public comment the revised versions of the draft COVID-19 Tax Bills. The revised draft COVID 19 Tax Bills give effect to the further COVID-19 tax measures announced by the Minister of Finance on 21 April 2020, that took effect on 1 May 2020 and apply for a limited period of four months, ending on 31 August 2020. These revised draft COVID-19 Tax Bills also took into account public comments received on the initial version of the draft COVID-19 Tax Bills published on 1 April 2020.

On 19 May 2020, National Treasury and SARS published the second revised draft DMTR Bill and revised draft Notice on Expanding Access to Living Annuity Funds. These were published to provide early feedback on issues raised through public comment on the revised draft COVID-19 Tax Bills published on 1 May 2020 that were time critical for payroll and other aspects to be implemented in May 2020.

In light of the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic and the resultant economic downturn, the Minister of Finance tabled an adjustment budget on 24 June 2020, as empowered by the Public Finance Management Act, read together with the Money Bills Amendment Procedure and Related Matters Act. The Adjustment Budget also contained the COVID-19 tax measures. On the same day, the Minister of Finance tabled the COVID-19 Tax Bills before Parliament for consideration.

The COVID-19 tax measures proposed in the COVID-19 Tax Bills that are currently before Parliament are over and above the tax proposals made in the 2020 Budget on 26 February 2020. The tax proposals announced in the 2020 Budget will still be processed through the normal annual tax legislative process i.e. the Taxation Laws Amendment Bill and the Tax Administration Laws Amendment Bill.

Due to constitutional requirements, these COVID-19 Tax Bills are divided into two separate categories, i.e. money bills in terms of section 77 of the Constitution dealing with national taxes, levies, duties and surcharges (the DMTR Bill) and an ordinary bill in terms of section 75 of the Constitution, dealing with tax administration issues (the DMTRA Bill).

1.2. CONSULTATION PROCESS ON THE DRAFT COVID-19 TAX BILLS

Consultation through written comments

A total of 186 written submissions were received by National Treasury and SARS. These can be categorised as follows:

- The initial draft COVID-19 Tax Bills were published for public comment on 1 April 2020. The closing date for public comment on the initial draft bills was 15 April 2020. Comments were received through 94 written submissions.
- The revised draft COVID-19 Tax Bills were published for public comment on 1 May 2020. The closing date for public comment on the revised draft bills was 15 May 2020. Comments were received through 76 written submissions.
- The second revised draft DMTR Bill and draft Notice on Expanding Access to Living Annuity Funds were published for public comment on 19 May 2020. Following the publication of the second revised draft Bill, further comments were received through 16 written submissions.

Consultation process through telephonic, virtual conferencing platforms and e-mails

On receipt of the written submissions on the initial draft and revised draft COVID-19 Tax Bills, National Treasury and SARS held telephonic and virtual engagements with some of the directly affected stakeholders. Further engagements were held through e-mail exchanges with some of the stakeholders. Changes that were made on the draft COVID-19 Tax Bills took into account inputs received via both written submissions and telephonic, virtual and email exchanges.

Parliamentary committee briefings

National Treasury and SARS briefed both the Standing Committee on Finance (SCoF) and Select Committee on Finance (SeCoF) on the draft COVID-19 Tax Bills on 23 April 2020. This briefing was on the COVID-19 tax measures that were included in the initial versions of the draft COVID-19 Tax Bills that were published for public comment on 1 April 2020, as well as on the further COVID-19 tax measures announced by the Minister of Finance on 21 April 2020 that were not included in the draft COVID-19 Tax Bills. Following the tabling by the Minister of Finance of the COVID-19 Tax Bills on 24 June 2020, the National Treasury and SARS briefed both the Standing Committee on Finance and Select Committee on Finance on the COVID-19 Tax Bills on 14 July 2020. On 22 July 2020, the SCoF and SeCoF convened public hearings on the COVID-19

Tax Bills. Representatives from four organisations, namely COSATU, SAICA, SAI and PWC, presented during the public hearings held by the joint SCoF and SeCoF meeting.

Today, on 28 July 2020, National Treasury and SARS present to both the SCoF and SeCoF the draft Response Document on the COVID-19 Tax Bills. This draft Response Document contains a summary of responses to the public comments received on –

- the first version, revised version and the second revised version of the draft COVID-19 Tax Bills that were published for public comment; and
- the submissions made for purposes of the public hearings held by the SCoF and SeCoF on the COVID-19 Tax Bills.

This draft response document is presented to the joint hearing for consideration. It has not yet been approved by the Minister of Finance, who will consider it after being presented with the proposals emerging from this hearing. This enables the Minister and Committees to take better account of all the proposed changes to the tabled bill before following the procedure in terms of the Money Bills Amendment Procedure Act.

1.3. POLICY ISSUES AND RESPONSES

Provided below are the responses to the key issues raised during the public consultation process in respect of the COVID-19 Tax Bills. Comments that are outside the scope of the COVID-19 Tax Bills are not taken into account for purposes of this response document.

National Treasury needs to carefully consider the additional tax proposals and there is no guarantee that these proposals will be accepted by the Minister. In considering these proposals there are many objectives we need to balance in terms of revenue and distributional impacts. There are a number of proposals which may have less of an impact on the fiscal framework, such as the tax-residency test. These can be considered but are less urgent as they relate to years of assessment and are finalised after the end of the tax year. These can be potentially be included in the legislation later this year. Many of the additional tax proposals would lead to a reduction in tax revenue in 2020/21 and have an impact on the fiscal framework. The fiscal framework from the Supplementary Budget has already been approved and it would be difficult to put in new tax measures to amend.

1.4. SUMMARY

This draft Response Document includes a summary of the key written comments received on the COVID-19 Tax Bills released for public comment as well as other key issues raised during the public hearings held by both the SCoF and SeCoF on 22 July 2020.

2020 DMTR Bill

The main comments are the following:

A. Expansion of Employment Tax Incentive age eligibility criteria and amount claimable

- Initial version of the draft DMTR Bill
 - Increasing the quantum of the ETI relief
 - Anti-avoidance measure aimed at employers paying less wages than the available incentive
 - Consideration of working hours due to social distancing requirements
 - Employer's registration date with SARS
- Revised version of the draft DMTR Bill
 - Extending the ETI temporary relief measure to apply to domestic workers
 - TERS payments should be taken into account when determining qualification for the extended ETI claim
- Second revised version of the draft DMTR Bill
 - Amendments to the ETI formula resulted in the reduction of the ETI relief
 - Extending the ETI temporary relief measure to apply to domestic workers
 - Backdating the changes to the definition of "monthly remuneration"
 - Extending the eligible wage range
- Parliamentary Committees public hearings on the tabled DMTR Bill
 - ETI temporary relief measures should be depended on jobs provided by employers
 - Amendments to the ETI formula resulted in the reduction of the ETI relief
 - Extension of the ETI relief

B. Streamlined special tax dispensation for funds established to assist with COVID-19 disaster relief efforts

- Initial version of the draft DMTR Bill
 - Expanding the legal nature of COVID-19 relief funds
 - Section 18A tax deductible donations for donors of COVID-19 relief funds
 - Relief from PAYE withholding obligation
 - Deemed conversion to a small business funding entity
- Revised version of the draft DMTR Bill
 - Pre-approval by SARS for COVID-19 disaster relief organisations benefits
 - Clarification of the relief from PAYE withholding obligation
- Second revised version of the draft DMTR Bill
 - Uncertainty around the promulgation and extension of relief
- Parliamentary Committees public hearings on the tabled DMTR Bill
 - Section 18A receipts by COVID-19 relief funds
 - Extension of the relief provided to COVID-19 relief funds

C. Skills Development Levy Holiday

Revised version of the draft DMTR Bill

- Powers of the Minister of Finance
- Exemption for companies in financial distress after the relief measure ceases to apply
- Period over which employers can utilise the relief measure

- Extension of the applicability of the relief measure
- Second revised version of the draft DMTR Bill
 - Interaction between the SDL holiday and the calculation of the leviable amount in terms of the B-BBEE Act
- D. Increasing the tax deductible donations available for donations to the Solidarity Fund by additional 10 per cent**
- Revised version of the draft DMTR Bill
 - The additional 10 per cent tax deduction should be available to other donors of other COVID-19 relief funds
- Parliamentary Committees public hearings on the tabled DMTR Bill
 - The additional 10 per cent tax deduction should be available to other donors of other COVID-19 relief funds

2020 DMTRA Bill

The main comments are the following:

E. Definition of qualifying taxpayer

- Initial version of the draft DMTRA Bill
 - Increasing the gross income threshold to ensure that more businesses have access to the proposed relief
 - Tax year to which the gross income threshold relate
 - Broadening the definition of “qualifying taxpayer” to include non-compliant taxpayers
 - Determination of gross income of partnerships
 - Public Benefit Organisations to be included in the proposed relief
 - Increasing the 10 per cent passive income exclusion percentage
 - Passive income exclusion should be expanded to cover all types of passive income
 - Relief to be extended to taxpayers that operate in the business of the rental of fixed property
- Revised version of the draft DMTRA Bill
 - Increasing the gross income threshold to include businesses with a gross income above R100 million
 - Propose to bring in a reasonability test where taxpayer incorrectly estimated gross income, as a general rule
 - Broadening the definition of qualifying taxpayers to include non-compliant taxpayers
 - Public Benefit Organisations to be included in proposed relief
 - Increasing the 20 per cent passive income exclusion percentage
 - Propose to bring in a reasonability test where taxpayer incorrectly estimated gross income, as a general rule
 - Relief to be extended to non-compliant taxpayers
- Parliamentary Committees’ public hearings on the tabled DMTRA Bill
 - Extending the relief provided to more businesses that have been affected by the COVID-19 pandemic

- Propose to bring in a reasonability test where taxpayer incorrectly estimated gross income, as a general rule
- Relief to be extended to non-compliant taxpayers

F. Deferral of the payment of employees' tax liability for tax compliant small to medium sized businesses

- Initial version of the draft DMTRA Bill
 - Increasing the percentage of PAYE to be deferred
 - Increasing the extent of the relief as well as the period over which the deferred PAYE must be settled to SARS
 - Employers should be incentivised by being refunded a portion of the PAYE withheld
- Revised version of the draft DMTRA Bill
 - Increasing the extent of the relief
 - Extending the period over which the deferred PAYE must be settled to SARS
- Parliamentary Committees' public hearings on the tabled DMTRA Bill
 - Increasing the extent of the relief
 - Extending the period over which the deferred PAYE must be settled to SARS

G. Deferral of the payment of provisional tax liability for tax compliant small to medium sized businesses

- Initial version of the draft DMTRA Bill
 - Increasing the extent of the relief
 - Extending the period over which the deferred provisional tax must be settled to SARS
- Revised version of the draft DMTRA Bill
 - Increasing the extent of the relief
 - Extending the period over which the deferred provisional tax should be settled to SARS
- Parliamentary Committees' public hearings on the tabled DMTRA Bill
 - Extending the period over which the deferred provisional tax should be settled to SARS

H. Adjusting employees' tax for donations made through the employer

- Revised version of the draft DMTRA Bill
 - Whether the relief measure applies only to donations made to the Solidarity Fund
 - Whether the combined tax deductible donations to both the Solidarity Fund and other PBOs will be limited to 33.33 per cent
- Parliamentary Committees' public hearings on the tabled DMTRA Bill
 - Whether the relief measure applies only to donations made to the Solidarity Fund
 - Whether the combined tax deductible donations to both the Solidarity Fund and other PBOs will be limited to 33.33 per cent

I. Extension of time-periods

- Initial version of the draft DMTRA Bill
 - General extension of time-periods in Tax Administration Act is required

- *Dies non* to apply to certain additional provisions in Tax Administration Act not currently contained in draft Bill
- Revised version of the draft DMTRA Bill
 - General extension of time-periods in Tax Administration Act is required
 - *Dies non* to apply to certain additional provisions in Tax Administration Act not currently contained in draft Bill
- Parliamentary Committees' public hearings on the tabled DMTRA Bill
 - *Dies non* to apply to certain additional provisions in Tax Administration Act not currently contained in draft Bill, including the submission date for returns

DRAFT

2020 DMTR BILL

2. EXPANSION OF EMPLOYMENT TAX INCENTIVE AGE ELIGIBILITY CRITERIA AND AMOUNT CLAIMABLE

INITIAL DRAFT DMTR BILL PUBLISHED ON 1 APRIL 2020

In order to minimise the loss of jobs during the COVID-19 lockdown period, in the initial draft COVID-19 Tax Bills published on 1 April 2020, it was proposed that ETI programme be expanded for a limited period of four months, beginning 1 April 2020 and ending on 31 July 2020 as follows:

- Increasing the maximum amount of ETI claimable during this four-month period for employees eligible under the current ETI Act from R1 000 to R1 500 in the first qualifying twelve months, and from R500 to R1 000 in the second twelve qualifying months.
- Allowing a monthly ETI claim in the amount of R500 during this four-month period for employees from the ages of:
 - 18 to 29 who are no longer eligible for the ETI as the employer has claimed ETI in respect of those employees for 24 months; and
 - 30 to 65 who are not eligible for the ETI due to their age.
- Accelerating the payment of employment tax incentive reimbursements from twice a year to monthly as a means of getting cash into the hands of tax compliant employers as soon as possible.

This expansion would, however, only apply to employers that were registered with SARS as at 1 March 2020. Further to the above, the current compliance requirements for employers under sections 8 and 10(4) of the ETI Act will continue to apply.

The following public comments were received on the amendments in relation to the proposal on “**EXPANSION OF EMPLOYMENT TAX INCENTIVE AGE ELIGIBILITY CRITERIA**”, included on the initial draft COVID-19 Tax Bills published on 1 April 2020 and the responses are provided below:

2.1. Increasing the quantum of the ETI relief

(Main reference: Clause 3 of the DMTR Bill before Parliament)

Comment: Given the severe financial constraints experienced during the lockdown, consideration should be given to increasing the quantum of the ETI relief.

Response: Accepted. Changes were made to the revised draft COVID 19 Tax Bills to increase the allowable incentive so as to provide a greater incentive for employers to retain lower-income employees through the COVID-19 pandemic.

2.2. Anti-avoidance measure aimed at employers paying less wages than the available incentive

(Main reference: Clause 3 of the DMTR Bill before Parliament)

Comment: Clarity is sought with regard to whether it is Government's intention that employers could receive ETI relief that is larger than the value of the wage that is paid to the employee.

Response: Accepted Changes were made in the revised draft COVID 19 Tax Bills to avoid circumstances where employers could reduce wages without any reduction in the ETI incentive amount and where the incentive amount could be larger than the employees wage. The amendment would follow the same principle as that which is followed in the original design of the ETI.

2.3. Consideration of working hours due to social distancing requirements

(Main reference: Clause 2 of the DMTR Bill before Parliament)

Comment: In adhering to the social distancing requirements, employees will be required to work fewer hours than contractually agreed upon with the employer. As the incentive is dependent on the number of hours the employee is remunerated for, the reduction of working hours (due to reasons beyond the employer or employee's control) will inadvertently reduce the proportion of the allowable R500 increase in the allowance.

Response: Accepted Changes were made in the revised draft COVID-19 Tax Bills to account for the fact that the employee's normal working hours are impacted by social distancing requirements.

2.4. Employers registration date with SARS

(Main reference: Clause 11 of the DMTR Bill before Parliament)

Comment: The proposed amendments to the draft COVID-19 Tax Bills make provision for the relief to only apply to employers that were registered with SARS as at 1 March 2020. On the other hand, the national lockdown started on 25 March 2020. It is proposed that the registration date should coincide with the national lockdown date.

Response: Accepted. The date of 1 March 2020 was used as a simplification. The date was amended to 25 March 2020 to coincide with the date of the start of the lock-down period.


REVISED DRAFT DMTR BILL PUBLISHED ON 1 MAY 2020

On 1 May 2020, National Treasury and SARS published revised versions of the draft COVID-19 Tax Bills for public comment. The revised draft COVID-19 Tax Bills published on 1` May 2020 contained the following additional COVID-19 tax

measures relating to the proposal on “**EXPANSION OF EMPLOYMENT TAX INCENTIVE AGE ELIGIBILITY CRITERIA**”.

Due to the financial impacts of the extended lockdown, it was further proposed that the amount of R500 per month available for employees that earn less than R6 500 per month be increased to R750 per month for a limited period of four months starting from 1 April 2020 and ending 31 July 2020. In view of the fact the proposed increase from R500 to R750 (in respect of the amount to be claimed) was made after the April payroll run, it was proposed that the additional R250 not claimed as part of the April payroll run could be claimed during the May payroll run.

Further, it was proposed that changes be made to the formula used to determine the amount of the employment tax incentive to be claimed. This is measure was aimed at limiting potential abuse whereby an employer could potentially pay an employee R1 per month and receive R750 per month via the ETI claim, creating an incentive for employers to reduce wages. As a result, amendments were proposed to the formula applicable to incomes below R2,000 per month. This will affect a small proportion of employees, only in cases where a lower minimum wage or an exemption from the national minimum wage is allowable. Instead of the flat value, the value of the incentive increases gradually for incomes up to R2,000 per month, similar to the tapering of the incentive for incomes above R4,500 per month.



In addition, the following changes were made in the revised draft COVID-19 Tax Bills published on 1 May 2020 to take into account the following public comments received on the initial draft COVID-19 Tax Bills on the proposal relating to “**EXPANSION OF EMPLOYMENT TAX INCENTIVE AGE ELIGIBILITY CRITERIA**”.

- Changes were made to allow the above mentioned monthly ETI claim to apply to employees previously not classified as “qualifying employees” to apply for a limited period of four months irrespective of their date of employment (employees employed before 1 October 2013 will also qualify for the relief).
- Due to the fact that the requirement for social distancing is likely to result in employees working significantly reduced hours, which will impact the monthly remuneration actually paid, changes were made to allow for the calculation of the ETI claim based on actual remuneration paid in that month where the employee has worked less than 160 hours a month (the remuneration paid to the employee need not be grossed-up).
- As the contractual agreement entered into at the beginning of the employee’s employment with the employer is not being altered, the extent of the ETI claimable in instances where the employee is employed for less than 160 hours a month will still be impacted by the hours employed and paid for in that month (the incentive claimable will bear the same ratio that the number of hours the employee was remunerated bears to 160 hours – the incentive will need to be grossed-down).

Further public comments were received on the amendments relating to the proposal on “**EXPANSION OF EMPLOYMENT TAX INCENTIVE AGE ELIGIBILITY CRITERIA**”, included on the revised draft COVID-19 Tax Bills published on 1 May 2020 and the responses are provided below:

2.5. Extending the ETI temporary relief measure to apply to domestic workers

(Main reference: Clause 2 of the DMTR Bill before Parliament)

Comment: The ETI relief measure should temporarily be extended to apply to domestic workers.

Response: Not accepted. The ETI can only be claimed by employers registered for PAYE, as that is the administrative platform for the claims. This is not the case for domestic workers employed by private households.

2.6. TERS payments should be taken into account when determining qualification for the extended ETI claim

(Main reference: Clause 2 of the DMTR Bill before Parliament)

Comment: The minimum wage applicable to the extended ETI claim should not only be based on remuneration paid by the employer, TERS payments received by employees should be taken into consideration when determining qualification for the extended ETI claim.

Response: Not accepted. The extended ETI relief was intended as an incentive for employers to keep paying employees their usual remuneration. In the event that this is not possible, the reduction in wages or salaries can be supplemented by a

TERS payment. Moreover, TERS benefits are not remuneration as defined, but rather tax exempt benefits that and employee is eligible for due to contributions to the Unemployment Insurance Fund (UIF).

SECOND REVISED DRAFT DMTR BILL PUBLISHED ON 19 MAY 2020

On 19 May 2020, National Treasury and SARS published the second revised versions of the draft COVID-19 Tax Bills for public comment. Further public comments were received on the amendments to the proposal relating to “**EXPANSION OF EMPLOYMENT TAX INCENTIVE AGE ELIGIBILITY CRITERIA**”, included on the second revised draft COVID-19 Tax Bills published on 19 May 2020 and the responses are provided below:

2.7. Amendments to the ETI formula resulted in reduction of the relief

(Main reference: Clause 5 of the DMTR Bill before Parliament)

Comment: Subsequent changes effected to the ETI formula resulted in certain a reduction to the relief available to certain employees (specifically those earning below R2 000). Further to the above, the retrospective application of these changes resulted in employers claiming an excessive ETI amount for said employees, which therefore means the employer would be subject to interest and penalties for having filed an excessive ETI claim.

Response: Noted

2.8. Extending the ETI temporary relief measure to apply to domestic workers

(Main reference: Clause 2 of the DMTR Bill before Parliament)

Comment: The ETI relief measure should temporarily be extended to apply to domestic workers.

Response: Not accepted. The ETI can only be claimed by employers registered for PAYE, as that is the administrative platform for the claims. This is not the case for domestic workers employed by private households.

2.9. Backdating the changes to the definition of “monthly remuneration”

(Main reference: Clause 2 of the DMTR Bill before Parliament)

Comment: The changes to the definition of “monthly remuneration” should be backdated in order to have a consistent definition over the relief period.

Response: Not accepted. Claims have been lodged for April based on the definition that existed from 1 April. Therefore the effective date of 1 May for this definition is most fair.

2.10. Extending the eligible wage range

(Main reference: Clause 3 of the DMTR Bill before Parliament)

Comment: It is proposed that the eligible wage range should be extended.

Response: Not accepted. Extending the eligible wage range would have a significant fiscal impact. The current intervention focuses on incentivising employment for lower income workers.

PARLIAMENTARY COMMITTEES PUBLIC HEARINGS ON THE TABLED COVID-19 TAX BILLS

Various stakeholders submitted written comments to Parliament on the COVID-19 Tax Bills for purposes of the public hearings on the Tax Bills convened by the SCoF and SeCoF on 22 July 2020. The following issues were raised during public hearings on the amendments to the proposal relating to the “**EXPANSION OF EMPLOYMENT TAX INCENTIVE AGE ELIGIBILITY CRITERIA**”, included on the tabled COVID-19 Tax Bills on 24 June 2020 and the responses are provided below:

2.11. ETI temporary relief measures should be dependent on the jobs provided by employers

(Main reference: Clause 2 of the DMTR Bill before Parliament)

Comment: The ability for employers to benefit from the proposed amendment should be dependent on the employer’s ability to prove that new jobs were created and sustained. There is also no evidence that the employment tax incentive has resulted in employment growth.

Response: Noted. Conditions already exist in that firms must be fully tax compliant. Due to the immense financial strain arising as a result on the extended lockdown, it is fair to assume that many, if not most employers will not be in a position to create new jobs. The placing of such requirements during the COVID-19 pandemic may result in the relief measure not serving its intended purpose of minimising job losses.

2.12. Amendments to the ETI formula resulted in reduction of the relief

(Main reference: Clause 5 of the DMTR Bill before Parliament)

Comment: Subsequent changes effected to the ETI formula resulted in certain a reduction to the relief available to certain employees (specifically those earning below R2 000). Further to the above, the retrospective application of these changes resulted in employers claiming an excessive ETI amount for said employees, which therefore

means the employer would be subject to interest and penalties for having filed an excessive ETI claim.

Response: Accepted. Due to the fact that changes to the ETI formula were effected after the commencement of the relief period, proposals will be made to the Minister of Finance to consider waiving interest and penalties arising as a result of excessive ETI claims processed prior to this, for employees earning below R2 000, as the excessive claims were in no way due to any fault on the employer's part.

2.13. Extension of the ETI relief

(Main reference: Clauses 2, 3, 4, 5 and 6 of the DMTR Bill before Parliament)

Comment: With South Africa heading towards the peak of COVID-19 infection in the coming months, there is a need to extend the ETI COVID -19 relief beyond the currently proposed end date of this special dispensation (31 July 2020). An extension of this special dispensation should be considered.

Response: Not accepted. The additional ETI relief is a direct cost to government. An extension would increase the cost and lead to lower overall tax receipts due to a policy change. The additional tax expenditure is not included in the fiscal framework from the Supplementary Budget which has been approved by Parliament.

3. STREAMLINED SPECIAL TAX DISPENSATION FOR FUNDS ESTABLISHED TO ASSIST WITH COVID-19 DISASTER RELIEF EFFORTS

INITIAL DRAFT DMTR BILL PUBLISHED ON 1 APRIL 2020

President Cyril Ramaphosa in his address: "Escalation of measures to combat Coronavirus COVID-19 pandemic" on 23 March 2020, announced the formation of the Solidarity Fund through which Government's health and social relief response will be facilitated by means of donations and Government funding. The President also indicated that private donors had pledged funding with the aim of providing assistance to the public. This assistance by private donors takes various forms including, establishing privately managed trusts that will provide loan funding to SMMEs on very favourable terms. Other donors provide loans to SMMEs, but the proceeds of those loans are paid in the form of weekly allowances directly to the employees of approved SMMEs in order to ensure that jobs are retained, while the loan obligation still remains with the SMME. Given the different funding structures and mechanisms that may be used by private donors to assist with COVID-19 relief measures and to ensure that no tax leakage undermines the intended assistance, an initial version of a streamlined special tax dispensation for funds established to assist with COVID-19 relief measures was included in the initial version of the draft DMTR Bill. Therein, it was proposed that COVID-19 disaster relief trusts be deemed to be Public Benefit Organisations (PBOs) for Income Tax purposes. This approval

as a PBO will only apply for a limited period of four months beginning from 1 April 2020 until 31 July 2020.

As a result, during the four-month period, the following tax exemptions will apply:

- Donations made to or by the COVID-19 disaster relief trust will be exempt from Donations Tax.
- Donations made to a COVID-19 disaster relief trust will qualify for annual tax deduction in the hands of the donor, subject to the currently applicable 10 percent of taxable income of the donor contained in section 18A of the Act.
- Where a loan is made by the COVID 19 disaster relief trust to an SMME and the amount of the loan is not paid directly to the SMME, but payment is made in terms of weekly allowances directly to the employees of that SMME, PAYE need not be withheld. That said, such payments will be treated as income in the hands of the employees and will be subject to tax in the hands of the employees in accordance with applicable tax brackets on assessment.
- At the end of the period of four months, the COVID-19 disaster relief trusts will cease to apply the provisions set out in the Disaster Management Tax Relief Bill. At the end of the period of four months, COVID-19 disaster relief trusts that have not dissolved and the assets thereof are not distributed as contemplated in section 30(3)(b)(iii) of the Income Tax Act on or before 31 July 2020, are deemed to be a small business funding entities as contemplated in section 30C of the Act.

The following public comments were received on the proposal relating to the “**STREAMLINED SPECIAL TAX TREATMENT FOR COVID-19 RELIEF FUNDS**”, included on the initial draft COVID-19 Tax Bills published on 1 April 2020 and the responses are provided below:

3.1. Expanding the legal nature of COVID-19 relief funds

(Main reference: Clause 1, definition of COVID-19 disaster relief organisation”, of the DMTR Bill before Parliament)

Comment: The scope of the legal nature of the COVID-19 relief funds should be expanded to not only cover trusts but also include non-profit companies as defined in section 1 of the Companies Act or an association of persons. This expansion will mirror the allowable entities under the existing PBO tax regime.

Response: Accepted. Changes were made in the initial draft COVID-19 Tax Bills to replace the definition of “COVID-19 disaster relief trust” with the following definition, “COVID-19 disaster relief organisation”. Further consequential changes were made to extend the relief provided to trusts to also include non-profit companies and associations of persons.

3.2. Section 18A tax deductible donations for donors of COVID-19 relief funds

(Main reference: Clause 8 of the DMTR Bill before Parliament)

Comment: Section 18A deductible donations are currently restricted to PBOs that are carrying on their activities in and for the benefit of South Africa. Some corporates house their international relief funds for offshore employees in South Africa and the benefits will be limited to offshore employees. A deduction should be considered in these cases.

Response: Not accepted. It is intended that all the limitations of section 18A should apply in respect of donations made to COVID-19 relief funds. Furthermore, public benefit activities that qualify as a deductible donation are granted a deduction on the premise that they are providing services that a Government should or would have been expected to perform, hence the limitation to activities in and for the benefit of South Africa.

Comment: Goods and services donated to COVID-19 funds should also be allowed as a tax deductible under section 18A.

Response: Partially accepted. The current Income Tax Act provisions of section 18A that apply to tax deductible donations allow for donations in cash or of property in kind (i.e. goods donated) which is actually paid or transferred. Changes were made in the initial draft COVID-19 Tax Bills to make provision for similar wording. However, no deduction will be granted for services or time donated. This is not in line with the current policy and also requires subjective quantification methods to determine a monetary amount to ascribe to such service or time.

3.3. Relief from PAYE withholding obligation

(Main reference: Clause 9 of the DMTR Bill before Parliament)

Comment: Persons receiving amounts from the COVID-19 relief trusts are the SMMEs, who will receive the weekly advances under the loan agreements. These amounts are capital amounts and could never constitute remuneration. Instead of saying there is no withholding obligation, the relevant provision should say that these amounts must be deducted or excluded from remuneration.

Response: Comment misplaced. The drafting language used adequately provides the desired relief to ensure that PAYE is not withheld. These amounts are only receivable by employees of the SMMEs by virtue of their employment and are in fact intended to keep them in the employ of the SMMEs. It is therefore a stretch to want to delink the amounts from their employment.

3.4. Deemed conversion to a Small Business Funding Entity

(Main reference: Clause 7 of the DMTR Bill before Parliament)

Comment: Some of the COVID-19 relief funds will continue to operate and engage in activities that are different to or in addition to their current activities of providing funding

to SMMEs. A deeming rule is therefore not appropriate for all the COVID-19 relief funds.

Response: Accepted. Changes were made on the initial draft COVID-19 Tax Bills to allow any COVID-19 disaster relief organisation that is not dissolved and the assets thereof are not distributed as contemplated in section 30(3)(b)(iii) of the Income Tax Act on or before 31 July 2020 to apply for approval under section 30 of that Act as a public benefit organisation as defined in section 30(1) of that Act.

REVISED DRAFT DMTR BILL PUBLISHED ON 1 MAY 2020

On 1 May 2020, National Treasury and SARS published revised versions of the draft COVID-19 Tax Bills for public comment. The following changes were made in the revised draft COVID-19 Tax Bills to take into account the following public comments received on the initial draft COVID-19 Tax Bills on the proposal relating to “**STREAMLINED SPECIAL TAX TREATMENT FOR COVID-19 RELIEF FUNDS**”

- A definition of “*COVID-19 disaster relief trust*” was replaced by the definition of “*COVID-19 disaster relief organisation*”. This enabled organisations that constitute either a non-profit company as defined in section 1 of the Companies Act, 2008 (Act No. 71 of 2008), a trust, or any association of persons that has been incorporated, formed or established in the Republic to fall under these provisions and qualify for the relief.
- Changes were made in order for donations in cash or donations of property in kind that is actually transferred to a “COVID-19 disaster relief organisation” to be eligible for section 18A tax deductible donations.
- In line with the current tax dispensation, in order for an entity to qualify as a tax exempt entity, pre-approval by SARS is required to ensure that all the relevant requirements are met. As such, changes were made so that COVID-19 disaster relief organisations envisaged above will have to apply to SARS in order to access the proposed tax benefits. Upon application and approval by the Commissioner, the COVID-19 disaster relief organisations will be deemed to be PBOs.

Further public comments were received on the proposal relating to “**STREAMLINED SPECIAL TAX TREATMENT FOR COVID-19 RELIEF FUNDS**” included on the revised draft COVID-19 Tax Bills published on 1 May 2020 and the responses are provided below:

3.5. Pre-approval by SARS for COVID-19 disaster relief organisations benefits

(Main reference: Clause 7 of the DMTR Bill before Parliament)

Comment: The initial version of the draft DMTR Bill deemed a COVID-19 relief trust to be a PBO. The pre-approval is not necessary and may lead to delays.

Response: Not accepted. In the existing tax dispensation for PBO's, in order for an entity to qualify as an exempt entity (either under the PBO regime or other regimes like the Small Business Funding Entity regime), pre-approval is required. It is not anticipated that there will be a delay in processing approvals of qualified COVID-19 relief funds. Rather, the SARS Tax Exemption Unit has been processing and approving qualifying entities within a week of receiving the relevant application.

3.6. Clarification of the relief from PAYE withholding obligation

(Main reference: Clause 10 of the revised version of the draft DMTR Bill)

Comment: In order to make it clear which structures qualify for relief from PAYE withholding obligation, the legislation should be clear that even though COVID-19 relief funds make direct payments to the employees of SMMEs, such payments are made on behalf of the SMME.

Response: Accepted. Changes were made on the revised draft COVID-19 Tax Bills to clarify that COVID-19 relief funds make direct payments to the employees of SMMEs on behalf of those SMMEs.

SECOND REVISED DRAFT DMTR BILL PUBLISHED ON 19 MAY 2020

On 19 May 2020, National Treasury and SARS published the second revised versions of the draft COVID-19 Tax Bills for public comment. The second revised draft COVID-19 Tax Bills took into account the following public comments received on the initial draft COVID-19 Tax Bills on the proposal relating to "**STREAMLINED SPECIAL TAX TREATMENT FOR COVID-19 RELIEF FUNDS**"

- Changes were made to clarify that COVID-19 relief funds can make direct payments to the employees of SMMEs on behalf of those SMMEs.
- Consequential amendments regarding the termination of the relief were made. The relief for COVID-19 disaster relief funds will cease to apply on 31 July 2020. If, upon application, the Commissioner does not approve any COVID-19 disaster relief fund as a public benefit organisation or small business funding entity by 31 July 2020 or the COVID 19 disaster relief organisation is dissolved, that COVID-19 disaster relief organisation will be required to transfer, or take reasonable steps to transfer its remaining assets to any public benefit organisation, institution, board, body or government as contemplated in section 30(3)(b)(iii) of the Income Tax Act within six months from 31 July 2020 or, if earlier, the date on which the relevant application for approval was sought. Should the COVID-19 disaster relief fail to do so, or

fail to take reasonable steps to transfer its remaining assets as required, an amount equal to the market value of those assets which have not been transferred, less an amount equal to the bona fide liabilities of the COVID-19 disaster relief organisation determined at the end of the day on 31 July 2020, will for purposes of the Income Tax Act, be deemed to be an amount of taxable income which accrued to that COVID-19 disaster relief organisation on 1 August 2020.

Further public comments were received on the proposal relating to “**STREAMLINED SPECIAL TAX TREATMENT FOR COVID-19 RELIEF FUNDS**”, included on the second revised draft COVID-19 Tax Bills published on 19 May 2020 and the responses are provided below:

3.7. Uncertainty around the promulgation and extension of relief

(Main references: Clauses 1, 7, 8 and 9 of the DMTR Bill before Parliament)

Comment: At issue is the uncertainty regarding the promulgation of the COVID-19 Tax Bills. In addition, it is proposed that the relief period of 4 months should be extended as it is clear that the pandemic is going to stay with us for a longer period.

Response: Noted Proposals will be made to the Minister of Finance to request Parliament, in terms of the Money Bills Amendment Procedure Act, to consider extending the period of the relief measure for two months.

PARLIAMENTARY COMMITTEES PUBLIC HEARINGS ON THE TABLED COVID-19 TAX BILLS

Various stakeholders submitted written comments to Parliament on the COVID-19 Tax Bills for purposes of the public hearings on the Tax Bills convened by the SCoF and SeCoF on 22 July 2020. The following issues were raised during public hearings on the proposal relating to “**STREAMLINED SPECIAL TAX TREATMENT FOR COVID-19 RELIEF FUNDS**”, included on the tabled COVID-19 Tax Bills on 24 June 2020 and the responses are provided below:

3.8. Section 18A receipts by COVID-19 relief funds

(Main reference: Clause 8 of the DMTR Bill before Parliament)

Comment: Provision has been made under the COVID-19 Tax Bills to allow for donors of COVID-19 relief funds to claim an annually determined deduction of their donations. However, in order to evidence that the relevant donation was made in the current PBO regime, a donor is issued with a section 18A receipt. No reference or requirement has been made in the current COVID-19 Tax Bills to empower COVID-19 relief funds to similarly issue section 18A receipts.

Response: Comment misplaced. The provision enabling donors to deduct donations made to COVID-19 relief funds in the COVID-19 Tax Bills provides that such a deduction must, firstly, be deducted in accordance with section 18A of the Income Tax Act and secondly, the deduction may be claimed subject to subsection (2) of section 18A of the Act. Subsection (2) of section 18A enforces a section 18A certificate in order to claim the deduction. It should be noted this has been taken on board by the Solidarity Fund, which allows their donors to request the certificate online. This is potentially a matter for clarification by SARS, rather than a legislative matter, if this is not being implemented by all COVID-19 relief funds.

3.9. Extension of the relief provided to COVID-19 relief funds

(Main reference: Clauses 1, 7, 8 and 9 of the DMTR Bill before Parliament)

Comment: With South Africa heading towards the peak of COVID-19 infection in the coming months, the need and purpose for which COVID-19 relief funds still remains and is expected to remain beyond the currently proposed end date of this special dispensation (31 July 2020). An extension of this special dispensation should be considered.

Response: Accepted. It is anticipated that South Africa will reach the peak of COVID-19 infection during the next two months. Proposals will be made to the Minister of Finance to request Parliament in terms of the Money Bills Amendment Procedure Act to consider extending the expiration date for the special dispensation for COVID-19 relief funds by two months.

4. SKILLS DEVELOPMENT LEVY HOLIDAY

REVISED DRAFT DMTR BILL PUBLISHED ON 1 MAY 2020

On 1 May 2020, National Treasury and SARS published revised versions of the draft COVID-19 Tax Bills for public comment. The revised draft COVID-19 Tax Bills published on 1 May 2020 contained the following additional COVID-19 tax measures “**SKILLS DEVELOPMENT LEVY HOLIDAY**”. In order to assist with alleviating any cash flow burden arising as a result of the COVID-19 outbreak and lockdown as well as reducing the burden of payroll taxes in the short term, a four-month holiday (non- payment) for skills development levy contributions (1 per cent of monthly payroll) made by employers was proposed, beginning 1 May 2020 and ending on 31 August 2020. This is an exemption, not a deferral, as a result, employers will not become liable for these amounts after 31 August 2020.

The following public comments were received on the proposal relating to “**SKILLS DEVELOPMENT LEVY HOLIDAY**” included on the revised draft COVID-19 Tax Bills published on 1 May 2020 and the responses are provided below:

4.1. Powers of the Minister of Finance

(Main reference: Clause 10 of the DMTR Bill before Parliament)

Comment: It is recommended that a similar power available in section 3(2) of the SDL Act be conferred on the Minister of Finance to allow him to make an announcement by way of notice in the Gazette extending the tax holiday in whole, or in part.

Response: Not accepted. All the relief measures contained in the COVID-19 Tax Bills apply for a limited period of 4 months. There is no provision contained in the COVID-19 Tax Bills that allows the Minister of Finance to extend any relief by Notice in the Gazette.

4.2. Exemption for companies in financial distress after the relief measure ceases to apply

(Main reference: Clause 10 of the DMTR Bill before Parliament)

Comment: Insert a mechanism for exemption from SDL if companies are in financial distress after 4 months.

Response: Not accepted. All the relief measures contained in the COVID-19 Tax Bills apply for a limited period of 4 months. There is no provision contained in the COVID-19 Tax Bills that allows for any relief to continue if companies are still in financial distress after 4 months.

4.3. Period over which employers can utilise the relief measure

(Main reference: Clause 10 of the DMTR Bill before Parliament)

Comment: Employers should be allowed to select any four month window period, rather than stipulating the effective dates.

Response: Not accepted. All the relief measures contained in the COVID-19 Tax Bills apply for a specified limited period of four months, which is either 1 April 2020 to 31 July 2020 or 1 May 2020 to 31 August 2020. There is no provision contained in the COVID-19 Tax Bills that allows taxpayers to choose any four month window period.

4.4. Extension of the applicability of the relief measure

(Main reference: Clause 10 of the DMTR Bill before Parliament)

Comment: It is proposed that the relief measure should be extended to 12 months.

Response: Not accepted. The additional SDL relief is a direct cost to government. An extension would increase this cost. The additional expenditure

is not included in the fiscal framework from the Supplementary Budget which has been approved by Parliament.

SECOND REVISED DRAFT DMTR BILL PUBLISHED ON 19 MAY 2020

On 19 May 2020, National Treasury and SARS published the second revised versions of the draft COVID-19 Tax Bills for public comment. Public comments were received on the proposal relating to “**SKILLS DEVELOPMENT LEVY HOLIDAY**” included in the second revised draft COVID-19 Tax Bills published on 19 May 2020 and the responses are provided below:

4.5. Interaction between the SDL holiday and the calculation of the leviable amount in terms of the B-BBE Act

(Main reference: Clause 10 of the DMTR Bill before Parliament)

Comment: Clarity is requested with regard to the interaction between the SDL payment holiday and the calculation of the leviable amount in terms of the B-BBE Act. There is uncertainty as to whether the SDL liability that would under normal circumstances be due should be included in the calculation in terms of the B-BBE Act.

Response: Noted. Under normal circumstances, non-payment of the SDL liability would result in the employer having breached their legal obligation. The SDL exemption afforded during this period temporarily absolves the employer from the obligation to pay over the levy calculated in terms of the SDL Act. However, this SDL exemption or holiday should not affect other provisions which need to be complied with. As a result, for purposes of compliance with any other provisions in other South African laws, regulations or rules, for example the B-BBE Act, the employer shall be deemed to have fully complied with the SDL Act in this regard. For purposes of the B-BBE Act, the amount afforded under the provisions of the SDL payment holiday shall therefore be deemed to be the SDL “leviable amount”.

5. INCREASING THE TAX DEDUCTIBLE DONATIONS AVAILABLE FOR DONATIONS TO THE SOLIDARITY FUND BY ADDITIONAL 10 PER CENT

(Main reference: Clause 9 of the draft DMTR Bill before Parliament)

REVISED DRAFT DMTR BILL PUBLISHED ON 1 MAY 2020

On 1 May 2020, National Treasury and SARS published revised versions of the draft COVID-19 Tax Bills for public comment. The revised draft COVID-19 Tax Bills published on 1 May 2020 contained the following additional COVID-19 tax measures “**INCREASING THE TAX DEDUCTIBLE DONATIONS AVAILABLE FOR DONATIONS TO THE SOLIDARITY FUND BY ADDITIONAL 10 PER CENT**”. In order to encourage South Africans to make contributions to the Solidarity Fund in line with the President’s call to action, it was proposed in the revised draft DMTR

that the tax-deductible limit for donations, currently 10 per cent of taxable income, be increased to 20 per cent in respect of donations in cash or of property in kind donated and actually paid or transferred to the Solidarity Fund.

Public comments were received on the proposal relating to ***“INCREASING THE TAX DEDUCTIBLE DONATIONS AVAILABLE FOR DONATIONS TO THE SOLIDARITY FUND BY ADDITIONAL 10 PER CENT”*** included in the revised draft COVID-19 Tax Bills published on 1 May 2020 and the responses are provided below:

5.1. The additional 10 per cent tax deduction should be available to donors of other COVID-19 relief funds

(Main reference: Clause 9 of the DMTR Bill before Parliament)

Comment: A donor of the Solidarity Fund may claim an additional 10 per cent of their taxable income, this creates disparity between the COVID-19 relief funds. The additional 10 per cent tax deduction should be available to donors to other COVID-19 relief funds.

Response: Not accepted. The additional 10 per cent tax deductible donations made to the Solidarity Fund, was intended to encourage support for the centralised national effort. Furthermore, it is important to note that some COVID-19 relief funds operate on the basis of providing loan funding (albeit on more favourable terms for the borrower). Such funding mechanisms require repayment by the borrower at some point in time. The existing 10 per cent per cent limit for donors to these types of funds is already generous.

PARLIAMENTARY COMMITTEES PUBLIC HEARINGS ON THE TABLED COVID-19 TAX BILLS

Various stakeholders submitted written comments to Parliament on the COVID-19 Tax Bills for purposes of the public hearings on the Tax Bills convened by the SCoF and SeCoF on 22 July 2020. The following issues were raised during public hearings on the amendments to the proposal relating to ***“INCREASING THE TAX DEDUCTIBLE DONATIONS AVAILABLE FOR DONATIONS TO THE SOLIDARITY FUND BY ADDITIONAL 10 PER CENT”***, included in the tabled COVID-19 Tax Bills on 24 June 2020 and the responses are provided below:

5.2. The additional 10 per cent tax deduction should be available to donors of other COVID-19 relief funds

(Main reference: Clause 9 of the DMTR Bill before Parliament)

Comment: A donor of the Solidarity Fund may claim an additional 10 per cent of their taxable income, this creates disparity between the COVID-19 relief funds. The additional 10 per cent tax deduction should be available to donors of other COVID-19 relief funds.

Response: Not accepted. The additional 10 per cent tax deductible donations made to the Solidarity Fund, was intended to encourage support for the centralised national effort. Furthermore, it is important to note that some COVID-19 relief funds operate on the basis of providing loan funding (albeit on more favourable terms for the borrower). Such funding mechanisms require repayment by the borrower at some point in time. An outright deduction of the initial 10 per cent to donors of these types of funds is already generous as it was done to encourage even those types of funding arrangements.

DRAFT

2020 DRAFT DMTRA BILL

6. DEFINITION OF QUALIFYING TAXPAYER

INITIAL DRAFT DMTRA BILL PUBLISHED ON 1 APRIL 2020

The definition of “a qualifying taxpayer” seeks to provide clarity on the taxpayers that qualify for the relief in terms of the draft Bill. A “qualifying taxpayer” is defined to mean any company, trust, partnership or individual that trades and whose gross income for the relevant year of assessment will not exceed R50 million, and no more than 10 per cent of its gross income should be derived from interest, dividends, foreign dividends, rental from letting fixed property and any remuneration received from an employer. A further requirement of the definition would be that the taxpayer must be tax compliant as provided in section 256(3) of the Tax Administration Act.

The following public comments were received with regards to the “**DEFINITION OF QUALIFYING TAXPAYER**”, included in the initial draft COVID-19 Tax Bills published on 1 April 2020 and the responses are provided below:

6.1. Increasing the gross income threshold to ensure that more businesses have access to the proposed relief

(Main reference: Clause 1, definition of “qualifying taxpayer” of the DMTRA Bill before Parliament)

Comment: The definition of qualifying taxpayer should be broadened to include taxpayers with a gross income up to R100 million.

Response: Accepted.

6.2. To which year does the gross income threshold relate

(Main reference: Clause 1, definition of “qualifying taxpayer” of the DMTRA Bill before Parliament)

Comment: The annual gross income threshold should be based on a year of assessment ending prior to 1 April 2020 in order to ensure that a taxpayer will know whether they will be under the R50 million limit by the time they will be relying on the relief.

Response: Not accepted. A prior year of assessment would have been pre-COVID-19 and thus not reflective of the current situation. Hence, the annual gross income threshold will apply to the year of assessment ending on or after 1 April 2020 but before 1 April 2021.

6.3. Broadening the definition of qualifying taxpayer to include non-compliant taxpayers

(Main reference: Clause 1, definition of “qualifying taxpayer” of the DMTRA Bill before Parliament)

Comment: It is submitted that the requirement that a taxpayer must be fully tax compliant at the time of accessing the relief is too restrictive. It is proposed that compliant should be measured prior to lock-down as many businesses will fall foul of their tax obligations due to financial hardship experienced as a result of the COVID-19 pandemic.

Response: Not accepted. A taxpayer is required to be tax compliant at the time of accessing the relief provided in the draft Bill in line with the general principle relating to government expenditure in tender and other areas. A taxpayer that is non-compliant may qualify for relief for periods after the non-compliance has been remedied.

6.4. Determination of gross income of partnerships

(Main reference: Clause 1, definition of “qualifying taxpayer” of the DMTRA Bill before Parliament)

Comment: A partnership has no gross income, as the income is deemed to have been received by or accrued to the individual partners. It would seem that must therefore determine whether a partnership is a “qualifying taxpayer” by aggregating the gross income of each partner, regardless of whether that gross income arose from the small business or not.

Response: Accepted. The gross income of a partnership for purposes of the definition of a qualifying taxpayer will be quantified as the aggregate of the partners’ gross income from the partnership.

6.5. Public benefit organisations must be included in the proposed relief

(Main reference: Clause 1, definition of “qualifying taxpayer” of the DMTRA Bill before Parliament)

Comment: Public benefit organisations are excluded from the definition of qualifying taxpayer, as most of them do not conduct a trade. As many of these public benefit organisations are not excluded from withholding employees’ tax from their employees, they will not be entitled to the employees’ tax relief provided in terms of the draft Bill. Given that, these organisations rely primarily on donations the lock-down has caused severe financial hardship affecting the short to medium term sustainability of these organisations and should therefore be included in the relief provided.

Response: Not accepted. In accordance with the President’s announcement, the automatic relief is targeted at small to medium sized businesses. Gross income, which is a key requirement, is a poor measure of PBOs’ size, since their receipts are often of a capital nature. PBOs may apply for case-by-case relief by SARS, where their actual circumstances can be properly considered.

6.6. Increasing the 10 per cent passive income exclusion percentage

(Main reference: Clause 1, definition of “qualifying taxpayer” of the DMTRA Bill before Parliament)

Comment: It is proposed that the 10 per cent threshold on passive income is too low and should be increased to 20 per cent. The 20 per cent threshold would also align with other similar sections in the Income Tax Act, for example, the definition of “small business corporation” in section 12E.

Response: Accepted.

6.7. Passive income exclusion should be expanded to cover all types of passive income

(Main reference: Clause 1, definition of “qualifying taxpayer” of the DMTRA Bill before Parliament)

Comment: The passive income exclusion should be expanded to cover all types of passive income.

Response: Accepted. Royalties and annuities were added to the exclusion.

6.8. Relief to be extended to taxpayers that operate in the business of the rental of fixed property

(Main reference: Clause 1, definition of “qualifying taxpayer” of the DMTRA Bill before Parliament)

Comment: It is proposed that the definition of “qualifying taxpayer” be amended to allow for potential relief for those taxpayers that operate in the business of the rental of fixed property and potentially from the income received from interest.

Response: Partially accepted. The definition of “qualifying taxpayer” was amended to exclude rental from letting of fixed property from the passive income exclusion where the primary trading activity of the taxpayer is the letting of fixed properties and substantially the whole of the gross income is rental from fixed property.

REVISED DRAFT DMTRA BILL PUBLISHED ON 1 MAY 2020

On 1 May 2020, National Treasury and SARS published revised versions of the draft COVID-19 Tax Bills for public comment. The following changes were made in the revised draft COVID-19 Tax Bills to take into account public comments received in the initial draft COVID-19 Tax Bills on the proposal relating to the **“DEFINITION OF QUALIFYING TAXPAYER”**

- Increasing the gross income cap to R100 million in order to ensure that more businesses have access to the proposed relief.
- Clarification of the gross income requirement for partnerships.
- The passive income exclusion percentage was increased to 20 per cent.

- Passive income exclusion expanded to cover all types of passive income.
- Passive income exclusion is so far as it related to the letting of fixed property will not apply to taxpayers where the primary trading activity of the taxpayer is the rental of fixed property where substantially the whole of the gross income is rental from fixed property.

Public comments were received on the proposed “**DEFINITION OF QUALIFYING TAXPAYER**” included in the revised draft COVID-19 Tax Bills published on 1 May 2020 and the responses are provided below:

6.9. Increasing the gross income threshold to include businesses with a gross income above R100 million

(Main reference: Clause 1, definition of “qualifying taxpayer” of the DMTRA Bill before Parliament)

Comment: The definition of qualifying taxpayer should be broadened to include taxpayers with a gross income over R100 million.

Response: Not accepted. The R100 million cap has been set to balance the cash flow relief for taxpayers with government’s revenue needs. The R100 million cap provides a simplified automatic system for smaller businesses. Small businesses that require additional relief and large business may apply to do so on a case-by-case basis in terms of the existing framework of the Tax Administration Act.

6.10. Propose to bring in a reasonability test where taxpayer incorrectly estimated gross income, as a general rule

(Main reference: Clause 1, definition of “qualifying taxpayer” of the DMTRA Bill before Parliament)

Comment: Determination of “qualifying taxpayer” will be problematic as taxpayers may not know whether or not they will be under the R100 million limit at the time they will be applying and relying on the relief measures available. It is proposed that a reasonable estimation test, similar to the process applied for in paragraph 19(3) of the Fourth Schedule to the Income Tax Act, be inserted as a general rule in order to aid taxpayers that have incorrectly estimated their gross income.

Response: Accepted. The gross income will be tested against the threshold after year end. If the actual gross income for the year of assessment is under the threshold, no supporting documentation is required. If it is above the threshold, this will not count against taxpayers if they can satisfy the Commissioner that their estimates of gross income, when taking advantage of the relief, were seriously calculated with due regard to the factors having a bearing thereon and were not deliberately or negligently understated. Gross income is measured exclusive of VAT for this purpose, since VAT is not received for the benefit of the business but for government.

6.11. Broadening the definition of qualifying taxpayer to include non-compliant taxpayers

(Main reference: Clause 1, definition of “qualifying taxpayer” of the DMTRA Bill before Parliament)

Comment: It is submitted that the requirement that a taxpayer must be fully tax compliant at the time of accessing the relief is too restrictive. It is proposed that compliant should be measured prior to lock-down as many businesses will fall foul of their tax obligations due to financial hardship experienced as a result of the COVID-19 pandemic.

Response: Not accepted. A taxpayer is required to be tax compliant at the time of accessing the relief provided in the draft Bill in line with the general principle relating to government expenditure in tender and other areas. A taxpayer that is non-compliant may qualify for relief for periods after the non-compliance has been remedied.

6.12. Public benefit organisations to be included in the proposed relief

(Main reference: Clause 1, definition of “qualifying taxpayer” of the DMTRA Bill before Parliament)

Comment: Public benefit organisations are excluded from the definition of qualifying taxpayer, as most of them do not conduct a trade. As many of these public benefit organisations are not excluded from withholding employees’ tax from their employees, they will not be entitled to the employees’ tax relief provided in terms of the draft Bill. Given that, these organisations rely primarily on donations the lock-down has caused severe financial hardship affecting the short to medium term sustainability of these organisations and should therefore be included in the relief provided.

Response: Not accepted. In accordance with the President’s announcement, the automatic relief is targeted at small to medium sized businesses. Gross income, which is a key requirement, is a poor measure of PBOs’ size, since their receipts are often of a capital nature. PBOs may apply for case-by-case relief by SARS, where their actual circumstances can be properly considered.

6.13. Increasing the 20 per cent passive income exclusion percentage

(Main reference: Clause 1, definition of “qualifying taxpayer” of the DMTRA Bill before Parliament)

Comment: As a result of restrictions placed on businesses offering non-essential services and goods during lockdown period, companies falling within the defined gross income threshold may earn other income (interest, dividends, foreign dividends, rental) in excess of the 20 per cent gross income threshold and thus fall outside of the relief provisions. It is proposed that the 20 per cent threshold for companies offering non-essential goods and services should be revised to accommodate instances where the 20 per cent threshold has been exceeded.

Response: Not accepted. The threshold had already been doubled to assist in this regard. The intention of the proposed relief is to support active businesses rather than those with more stable passive income streams.

PARLIAMENTARY COMMITTEES PUBLIC HEARINGS ON THE TABLED COVID-19 TAX BILLS

Various stakeholders submitted written comments to Parliament on the COVID-19 Tax Bills for purposes of the public hearings on the Tax Bills convened by the SCoF and SeCoF on 22 July 2020. The following issues were raised during public hearings on the amendments to the proposal relating to the **“DEFINITION OF QUALIFYING TAXPAYER”**, included in the tabled COVID-19 Tax Bills on 24 June 2020 and the responses are provided below:

6.14. Extending the relief provided to more businesses that have been affected by the COVID-19 pandemic

(Main reference: Clause 1, definition of “qualifying taxpayer” of the DMTRA Bill)

Comment: Because this year of assessment would in most cases include only periods that were not influenced by the effects of the pandemic, in order to equate to R100 million of gross income that includes the effects of the pandemic, the limit should be substantially higher than R100 million.

Response: Not accepted. Gross income is measured based in the year of assessment ending on or after 1 April 2020 but before 1 April 2021, which will have been substantially affected by COVID-19. Larger businesses may apply for case-by-case relief by SARS, where their actual circumstances can be properly considered.

6.15. Propose to bring in a reasonability test where taxpayer incorrectly estimated gross income, as a general rule

(Main reference: Clause 1, definition of “qualifying taxpayer” of the DMTRA Bill)

Comment: Determination of “qualifying taxpayer” will be problematic as taxpayers may not know whether or not they will be under the R100 million limit at the time they will be applying and relying on the relief measures available. It is proposed that a reasonable estimation test, similar to the process applied for in paragraph 19(3) of the Fourth Schedule to the Income Tax Act, be inserted as a general rule in order to aid taxpayers that have incorrectly estimated their gross income.

Response: Comment misplaced. An amendment to this effect has already been made. See item (ii) to the proviso of the definition of “qualifying taxpayer”.

6.16. Relief to be extended to non-compliant taxpayers

(Main reference: Clause 1, definition of “qualifying taxpayer” of the DMTRA Bill)

Comment: The employees’ tax relief measures are only available to taxpayers that are tax compliant. Many compliant taxpayers might become non-compliant due to

various factors resulting from the COVID-19 lockdown period, such as cash flow constraints causing businesses to retain cash for their operations and seek, or self-impose, deferrals for payments of creditors. This impacts small and large businesses equally. It is proposed that the requirement for compliance be measured before the lockdown period and should not be affected by involuntary non-compliance that may arise in the lockdown period due to circumstances that are beyond the taxpayer's control.

Response: Not accepted. A taxpayer is required to be tax compliant at the time of accessing the relief provided in the draft Bill in line with the general principle relating to government expenditure in tender and other areas. A taxpayer that is non-compliant may qualify for relief for periods after the non-compliance has been remedied.

7. DEFERRAL OF THE PAYMENT OF EMPLOYEES' TAX LIABILITY FOR TAX COMPLIANT SMALL TO MEDIUM SIZED BUSINESSES

INITIAL DRAFT DMTRA BILL PUBLISHED ON 1 APRIL 2020

In order to assist with alleviating any cash flow burden arising as a result of the COVID-19 outbreak, the following initial tax measures were proposed for qualifying employers, for a limited period of four months, beginning 1 April 2020 and ending on 31 July 2020:

- Deferral of payment of 20 per cent of the PAYE liability, without SARS imposing administrative penalties and interest for the late payment thereof.
- The deferred PAYE liability must be paid to SARS in equal instalments over the six-month period commencing on 1 August 2020, (i.e. the first payment must be made on 7 September 2020).
- However, interest and penalties will apply if the employer has understated the PAYE liability for any of the four months

The following public comments were received on the proposal relating to "**DEFERRAL OF THE PAYMENT OF EMPLOYEES TAX LIABILITY FOR TAX COMPLIANT SMALL TO MEDIUM SIZED BUSINESSES**", included in the initial draft COVID-19 Tax Bills published on 1 April 2020 and the responses are provided below:

7.1. Increasing the percentage of PAYE to be deferred

(Main reference: Clause 2 of the DMTRA Bill before Parliament)

Comment: Consideration should be given to increasing the percentage of PAYE to be deferred as well as the amending the legislation to cater for a larger number of entities.

Response: Accepted. The deferral percentage was increased to 35 per cent and the gross income threshold was increased to R100 million as discussed above.

7.2. Increasing the extent of the relief as well as the period over which the deferred PAYE must be settled to SARS

(Main reference: Clause 2 of the DMTRA Bill before Parliament)

Comment: Employers should be granted a three to six-month tax holiday relating to PAYE, without any penalties or interest charges, alternatively, the Minister of Finance should be given the power to amend the period subject to deferral, the period over which the deferred liability must be paid and the quantum of the liability which may be deferred.

Response: Not accepted. The increase from 20 per cent to 35 per cent is informed by affordability to government. A further increase is not affordable and also needs to be balanced against additional pressure on businesses when the repayment becomes due. Businesses that do not qualify for automatic relief should make use of the facility to apply for case-by-case relief by SARS, where their actual circumstances can be properly considered. Extensions of the period for repayment may also impact on the fiscal framework.

7.3. Employers should be incentivised by being refunded a portion of the PAYE withheld

(Main reference: Clause 2 of the DMTRA Bill before Parliament)

Comment: Employers should be incentivised not to retrench employees by refunding them a portion of the PAYE withheld and paid over to SARS.

Response: Not accepted. In addition to the deferred PAYE payments, the extended ETI relief is already being provided as a means of reducing job losses amongst low income employees.

REVISED DRAFT DMTRA BILL PUBLISHED ON 1 MAY 2020

On 1 May 2020, National Treasury and SARS published revised versions of the draft COVID-19 Tax Bills for public comment. The following changes were made in the revised draft COVID-19 Tax Bills to take into account public comments received in the initial draft COVID-19 Tax Bills on the proposal relating to “**DEFERRAL OF THE PAYMENT OF EMPLOYEES TAX LIABILITY FOR TAX COMPLIANT SMALL TO MEDIUM SIZED BUSINESSES**”

- The proportion of PAYE that can be deferred, without SARS imposing administrative penalties and interest for the late payment thereof was increased from 20 per cent to 35 per cent.

Public comments were received on the proposal relating to “**DEFERRAL OF THE PAYMENT OF EMPLOYEES TAX LIABILITY FOR TAX COMPLIANT SMALL TO MEDIUM SIZED BUSINESSES**” included in the revised draft COVID-19 Tax Bills published on 1 May 2020 and the responses are provided below:

7.4. Increasing the extent of the relief

(Main reference: Clause 2 of the DMTRA Bill before Parliament)

Comment: Consideration should be given to increasing the extent of the relief (percentage allowed to be deferred). Repayment provisions over a six-month period should be extended to allow businesses to recover and be financially viable to continue operations. As opposed to a six-month period, a twelve-month period can be considered

Response: Not accepted. The increase from 20 per cent to 35 per cent is informed by affordability to government. A further increase is not affordable and also needs to be balanced against additional pressure on businesses when the repayment becomes due. Businesses that do not qualify for automatic relief should make of the facility to apply for case-by-case relief by SARS, where their actual circumstances can be properly considered. An extension of the repayment period to a twelve month period would impact on the fiscal framework.

PARLIAMENTARY COMMITTEES PUBLIC HEARINGS ON THE TABLED COVID-19 TAX BILLS

Various stakeholders submitted written comments to Parliament on the COVID-19 Tax Bills for purposes of the public hearings on the Tax Bills convened by the SCoF and SeCoF on 22 July 2020. The following issues were raised during public hearings on the amendments to the proposal relating to “**DEFERRAL OF THE PAYMENT OF EMPLOYEES TAX LIABILITY FOR TAX COMPLIANT SMALL TO MEDIUM SIZED BUSINESSES**”, included in the tabled COVID-19 Tax Bills on 24 June 2020 and the responses are provided below:

7.5. Increasing the extent of the relief

(Main reference: Clause 2 of the DMTRA Bill before Parliament)

Comment: Consideration should be given to increasing the extent of the deferral, both in terms of the quantum (to at least 50 per cent) and the timeframe thereof. At the very least, this measure needs to be complemented with other measures (beyond what is already in place) in order to provide meaningful support to small business.

Response: Partially accepted. The increase from 20 per cent to 35 per cent is informed by affordability to government. A further increase is not affordable and also needs to be balanced against additional pressure on businesses when the repayment becomes due. An extension of the period covered, being April to July, to April to August will be proposed to the Minister of Finance. The ETI expansion is also available to small businesses.

7.6. Extending the period over which the deferred PAYE should be settled to SARS

(Main reference: Clause 2 of the DMTRA Bill before Parliament)

Comment: Due to the current economic climate, consideration should be given to the period over which the deferred PAYE should be settled to SARS. As opposed to a six-month period, a twelve-month period can be considered.

Response: Not accepted. An extension of the repayment period to a twelve month period would impact on the fiscal framework.

8. DEFERRAL OF THE PAYMENT OF PROVISIONAL TAX LIABILITY FOR TAX COMPLIANT SMALL TO MEDIUM SIZED BUSINESSES

INITIAL DRAFT DMTRA BILL PUBLISHED ON 1 APRIL 2020

In order to assist with alleviating cash flow burdens arising as a result of the COVID-19 outbreak, the following tax measures were initially proposed for tax compliant small to medium sized businesses, for a period of twelve months, beginning 1 April 2020 and ending on 31 March 2021:

- Deferral of a portion of the payment of the first and second provisional tax liability to SARS, without SARS imposing administrative penalties and interest for the late payment of the deferred amount;
- The first provisional tax payment due from 1 April 2020 to 30 September 2020 will be based on 15 percent of the estimated total tax liability, while the second provisional tax payment from 1 April 2020 to 31 March 2021 will be based on 65 percent of the estimated total tax liability;
- Provisional taxpayers with deferred payments will be required to pay the full tax liability when making the third provisional tax payment in order to avoid interest charges.
- However, interest and penalties will apply in instances where, upon assessment, it is discovered that a taxpayer does not qualify for relief under the proposed amendments.

The following public comments were received on the proposal relating to “**DEFERRAL OF THE PAYMENT OF PROVISIONAL TAX FOR TAX COMPLIANT SMALL TO MEDIUM SIZED BUSINESSES**”, included in the initial draft COVID-19 Tax Bills published on 1 April 2020 and the responses are provided below:

8.1. Increasing the extent of the relief

(Main reference: Clause 3 of the DMTRA Bill before Parliament)

Comment: It is proposed that temporary deferral of the payment of the full amount of provisional tax be considered in line with other OECD countries that have done the same.

Response: Not accepted. Relief is formulated taking into account the period and amount that Government can afford at the moment. Our significant challenge, unlike many OECD countries, is that we have extremely limited fiscal space and our ability to provide relief is severely constrained.

8.2. Increasing the period over which the deferred provisional tax should be settled to SARS

(Main reference: Clause 3 of the DMTRA Bill before Parliament)

Comment: Consideration should be given to extend the period within which the deferred provisional tax should be settled to SARS for example, allow deferral of the third provisional tax payment by allowing payments over a period of six months with six equal instalments.

Response: Not accepted. The provisional tax deferral already runs from six months to a year, depending on the taxpayer's year end. An additional deferral will impact on the fiscal framework.

REVISED DRAFT DMTRA BILL PUBLISHED ON 1 MAY 2020

On 1 May 2020, National Treasury and SARS published revised versions of the draft COVID-19 Tax Bills for public comment. The following changes were made in the revised draft COVID-19 Tax Bills to take into account public comments received in the initial draft COVID-19 Tax Bills on the proposal relating to "**DEFERRAL OF THE PAYMENT OF PROVISIONAL TAX LIABILITY FOR TAX COMPLIANT SMALL TO MEDIUM SIZED BUSINESSES**"

No amendments were made.

Public comments were received on the proposal relating to "**DEFERRAL OF THE PAYMENT OF PROVISIONAL TAX LIABILITY FOR TAX COMPLIANT SMALL TO MEDIUM SIZED BUSINESSES**" included in the revised draft COVID-19 Tax Bills published on 1 May 2020 and the responses are provided below:

8.3. Increasing the extent of the relief

(Main reference: Clause 3 of the DMTRA Bill before Parliament)

Comment: It is proposed that temporary deferral of the payment of the full amount of provisional tax be considered in line with other OECD countries that have done the same.

Response: Not accepted. Relief is formulated taking into account the period and amount that Government can afford at the moment. Our significant challenge, unlike many OECD countries, is that we have extremely limited fiscal space and our ability to provide relief is severely constrained.

8.4. Extending the period over which the deferred provisional tax should be settled to SARS

(Main reference: Clause 2 of the DMTRA Bill before Parliament)

Comment: Due to the current economic climate, consideration should be given to the period over which the deferred provisional tax should be settled to SARS. As opposed to a six-month period, a twelve-month period can be considered.

Response: Not accepted. The provisional tax deferral already runs from six months to a year, depending on the taxpayer's year end. An additional deferral will impact on the fiscal framework.

PARLIAMENTARY COMMITTEES PUBLIC HEARINGS ON THE TABLED COVID-19 TAX BILLS

Various stakeholders submitted written comments to Parliament on the COVID-19 Tax Bills for purposes of the public hearings on the Tax Bills convened by the SCoF and SeCoF on 22 July 2020. The following issues were raised during public hearings on the amendments to the proposal relating to "**DEFERRAL OF THE PAYMENT OF PROVISIONAL TAX LIABILITY FOR TAX COMPLIANT SMALL TO MEDIUM SIZED BUSINESSES**", included in the tabled COVID-19 Tax Bills on 24 June 2020 and the responses are provided below:

8.5. Extending the period over which the deferred provisional tax should be settled to SARS

(Main reference: Clause 3 of the DMTRA Bill before Parliament)

Comment: Due to the current economic climate, consideration should be given to the period over which the deferred provisional tax should be settled to SARS. As opposed to a six-month period, a twelve-month period can be considered.

Response: Not accepted. The provisional tax deferral already runs from six months to a year, depending on the taxpayer's year end. An additional deferral will impact on the fiscal framework.

9. ADJUSTING EMPLOYEES' TAX FOR DONATIONS MADE THROUGH THE EMPLOYER

REVISED DRAFT DMTRA BILL PUBLISHED ON 1 MAY 2020

On 1 May 2020, National Treasury and SARS published revised versions of the draft COVID-19 Tax Bills for public comment. The revised draft COVID-19 Tax Bills published on 1 May 2020 contained the following additional COVID-19 tax measure "**ADJUSTING EMPLOYEES TAX FOR DONATIONS MADE THROUGH THE EMPLOYER**". To alleviate the cash flow difficulties of employees where their

employers contribute to the Solidarity Fund on their behalf, Government is proposing a special relief measure by temporarily increasing the current 5 per cent tax limit in the calculation of monthly PAYE of the employee. An additional limit of up to a maximum of 33.33 per cent for three months or 16.66 per cent for six months, depending on an employee's circumstances, will be available. This will ensure that the employee gets the deduction that is in excess of 5 per cent much earlier than under normal circumstances and will therefore not have to wait until final assessment to claim a potential refund, provided the donation is made to the Solidarity Fund. It is, however, important to note that a final determination must still be made upon assessment as the employee may have other income, deductions or losses that impact the final taxable income before the deduction of donations.

9.1. Whether the relief measure applies only to donations made to the Solidarity Fund

(Main reference: Clause 5 of the DMTRA Bill before Parliament)

Comment: Certainty is required with regard to whether the relief measure only applies to donations made to the Solidarity Fund or does it also apply to donations made to any COVID-19 disaster relief organisation.

Response: Noted. The relief measure is intended for donations to the Solidarity Fund as the Solidarity Fund is the only fund to which the additional 10 per cent tax deductible donations applies. See discussion in 5.1. above. With regard to donations to other COVID-19 relief funds, the current provisions of the Income Tax Act make provision for the alleviation of cash flow burdens.

9.2. Whether the combined tax deductible donations to both the Solidarity Fund and other PBOs will be limited to 33.3 per cent

(Main reference: Clause 5 of the DMTRA Bill before Parliament)

Comment: Certainty is required with regard to whether the relief measure is being provided in addition to the current five per cent allowable deduction (thus making the respective allowable deduction 38.33 per cent over three months or 21.66 per cent over six months) or whether during the relief period deductions in relation to donations to the Solidarity Fund and other PBO's will be limited to the 33.33 per cent over three months or 16.66 percent over six months.

Response: Noted. The relief is in addition to the current five per cent over a year available for payroll giving to public benefit organisations in terms of current legislation.

PARLIAMENTARY COMMITTEES PUBLIC HEARINGS ON THE TABLED COVID-19 TAX BILLS

Various stakeholders submitted written comments to Parliament on the COVID-19 Tax Bills for purposes of the public hearings on the Tax Bills convened by the SCoF and SeCoF on 22 July 2020. The following issues were raised during public hearings on the amendments to the proposal relating to ““**ADJUSTING**

EMPLOYEES TAX FOR DONATIONS MADE THROUGH THE EMPLOYER”, included in the tabled COVID-19 Tax Bills on 24 June 2020 and the responses are provided below:

9.3. Whether the relief measure applies only to donations made to the Solidarity Fund

(Main reference: Clause 5 of the DMTRA Bill before Parliament)

Comment: There seems to be an underlying incentive to direct all donations to the Solidarity Fund and that is discriminatory.

Response: Noted. The relief measure is intended for donations to the Solidarity Fund as the Solidarity Fund is the only fund to which the additional 10 per cent tax-deductible donations apply. See discussion in 5.2. above. With regard to donations to other COVID-19 relief funds, the current provisions of the Income Tax Act make provision for the alleviation of cash flow burdens.

9.4. Whether the combined tax deductible donations to both the Solidarity Fund and other PBOs will be limited to 33.3 per cent

(Main reference: Clause 5 of the DMTRA Bill before Parliament)

Comment: Certainty is required with regard to whether the relief measure is being provided in addition to the current five percent allowable deduction (thus making the respective allowable deduction 38.33 percent over three months or 21.66 percent over six months) or whether during the relief period deductions in relation to donations to the Solidarity Fund and other PBO's will be limited to the 33.33 per cent over three months or 16.66 percent over six months.

Response: Noted. The relief is in addition to the current five per cent over a year available for payroll giving to public benefit organisations in terms of current legislation.

10. EXTENSION OF TIME-PERIODS

INITIAL DRAFT DMTRA BILL PUBLISHED ON 1 APRIL 2020

Certain time periods prescribed under the tax Acts as well as the Customs and Excise Act, 1964, are affected by the COVID-19 national lockdown period. In respect of the listed periods the 21 day lockdown period will be regarded as *dies non*, i.e. a day that has no legal effect and which will not be counted for purposes of the calculation of the listed time periods. This is intended to provide individuals and businesses impacted by COVID-19 with additional time to comply with selected tax obligations or due dates that are affected by or fall within the lockdown period but does not extend to return filing or payments. The processes made available by SARS must be followed for requests for instalment payment agreements in terms of section 167 of the Tax Administration Act.

The following public comments were received on the proposal relating to “**EXTENSION OF TIME-PERIODS**”, included in the initial draft COVID-19 Tax Bills published on 1 April 2020 and the responses are provided below:

10.1. General extension of time-periods in Tax Administration Act is required

Comment: It is proposed that the provisions in respect of the extension of time periods be extended to cover all time periods, including all notices and request to and from SARS as well as the time period for submission of returns.

Response: Not accepted. Returns provide essential information for purposes of revenue analysis and monitoring the current state of the economy. It will also be in taxpayers’ best interest if processes can continue, so that matters can be finalised and refunds be processed. The existing provisions of the Tax Administration Act also provide SARS with the discretion to extend certain time-periods.

10.2. Dies non to apply to certain additional provisions in Tax Administration Act not currently contained in draft Bill

Comment: It is proposed that the provision on the extension of time periods be expanded to include certain additional provisions contained in the Tax Administration Act and Income Tax Act.

Response: Partially accepted. Certain additional provisions to which the *dies non* rule will apply were added to the draft Bill.

REVISED DRAFT DMTRA BILL PUBLISHED ON 1 MAY 2020

On 1 May 2020, National Treasury and SARS published revised versions of the draft COVID-19 Tax Bills for public comment. The following changes were made in the revised draft COVID-19 Tax Bills to take into account public comments received in the initial draft COVID-19 Tax Bills on the proposal relating to “**EXTENSION OF TIME-PERIODS**”:

- The *dies non* rule was expanded to include the following time-periods:
 - In respect of the application for the remittance of penalties under section 215(3) of the Tax Administration Act;
 - In respect of a penalty incorrectly assessed under section 219 of the Tax Administration Act;
 - For the appointment of a public officer under section 246(2)(d) of the Tax Administration Act;
 - In respect of revoking third party access under section 256(6) of the Tax Administration Act;
 - The submission of certain beneficial owner declaration forms for SA withholding tax purposes.

The following public comments were received on the proposal relating to “**EXTENSION OF TIME-PERIODS**”, included in the revised draft COVID-19 Tax Bills published on 5 May 2020 and the responses are provided below:

10.3. General extension of time-periods in Tax Administration Act is required

Comment: It is proposed that the provisions in respect of the extension of time periods be extended to cover all time periods, including all notices and request to and from SARS as well as the time period for submission of returns.

Response: Not accepted. Returns provide essential information for purposes of revenue analysis and monitoring the current state of the economy. It will also be in taxpayers’ best interest if processes can continue, so that matters can be finalised and refunds be processed. The existing provisions of the Tax Administration Act also provide SARS with the discretion to extend certain time-periods.

10.4. Dies non to apply to certain additional provisions in Tax Administration Act not currently contained in draft Bill

Comment: It is proposed that the provision on the extension of time periods be expanded to include additional provisions contained in the Tax Administration Act not currently covered by the revised draft.

Response: Not accepted. Additional provisions to which the *dies non* rule will apply have already been added to the draft Bill. The existing provisions of the Tax Administration Act also provide SARS with the discretion to extend certain time-periods.

PARLIAMENTARY COMMITTEES PUBLIC HEARINGS ON THE TABLED COVID-19 TAX BILLS

Various stakeholders submitted written comments to Parliament on the COVID-19 Tax Bills for purposes of the public hearings on the Tax Bills convened by the SCoF and SeCoF on 22 July 2020. The following issues were raised during public hearings on the amendments to the proposal relating to “**THE EXTENSION OF TIME-PERIODS**”, included in the tabled COVID-19 Tax Bills on 24 June 2020 and the responses are provided below:

10.5. Dies non to apply to certain additional provisions in Tax Administration Act not currently contained in draft Bill, including the submission date for returns

Comment: The extension of time periods is insufficiently comprehensive. It should also extend to those time periods contemplated in sections 37, Chapter 5 information gathering periods, for example, sections 42, 42A, 46 (especially in light of the fact that many tax practitioners and taxpayers could not legally access certain of their

information before the change to the 'permitted services' criteria on 4 May 2020), sections 164(1)(b), 164(6), 179(5) and 190(4) of the Tax Administration Act, as well as the submission of the donations tax return (that was due on 31 March for section 7C donations). The extension of time-periods should also apply to the submission of returns.

Response: Not accepted. Sections 42(3), 42A(2) and 46(5) already provide for an extension by SARS. Section 164(1) does not specify a time period. Section 164(6) provides a 10 business day window for taxpayers to consider their options if SARS decides to deny a request to suspend the collection of a debt that is subject to dispute or to revoke a suspension. Such decisions were not generally taken during the period of the lockdown, as defined. Section 179(5) relates to final demands which would already have been actioned in respect of taxpayers who were continually non-compliant and had been engaged on multiple occasions. Section 190(4) relates to rare cases where a payment in respect of an assessment is in excess of the assessment, where a three and five year period, depending on the nature of the assessment, is already permitted for the refund of the excess. Returns provide essential information for purposes of revenue analysis and monitoring the current state of the economy.

DRAFT